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## 

## ADVERTISEMENT.

$\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{R}}$. Burie's Reflections on the French Revolution firt engaged my attention as the tranfient topic of the day; and reading it, more for amufement than information, my indignation was roufed by the fophiftical arguments, that every moment croffed me, in the queftionable fhape of natural feelings and common fenfe.

Many pages of the following letter were the effufions of the moment; but, fwelling imperceptibly to a confiderable fize, the idea was fug'5 gefted

$$
(\text { iv })
$$

gefted of publifhing a fhort vindication of the Rigbts of Men.

Not having leifure or patience to follow this defultory writer through all the devious tracks in which his fancy has flarted frefh game, I have confined my frietures, in a great meafure, to the grand principles at which he has levelled many ingenious arguments in a very fpecious garb.

$$
\text { L. } \mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{T} \mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{R}
$$

Right Honourable EDMUND BURKE.

S I R,
$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{T}}$ is not neceffary, with courtly infincerity, to apologife to you for thus intruding on your precious time, not to profefs that I think it an honour to difcufs an important fubject with a man whofe literary abilities have raifed him to notice in the flate. I have not yet learned to twift my periods, nor, in the equivocal idiom of politenefs, to difguife my fentiments, and imply what I hould be afraid to utter:

## ( 2 )

if, therefore, in the courfe of this epiftle, I chance to exprefs contempt, and even indignation, with fome emphafis, I befeech you to believe that it is not a flight of fancy; for truth, in morals, has ever appeared to me the effence of the fublime; and, in tafte, fimplicity the only criterion of the beautiful. But I war not with an individual when I contend for the rigbts of men and the liberty of reafon. You fee I do not condefcend to cull my words to avoid the invidious phrafe, nor fhall I be prevented from giving a manly definition of it, by the flimfy ridicule which a lively fancy has interwoven with the prefent acceptation of the term. Reverencing the rights of humanity, I fhall dare to affert them; not intimidated by the horfe laugh that you have raifed, or waiting till time has wiped away the compaffionate tears which you have elaborately laboured to excite.

## ( 3 )

From the many juft fentiments interfperfed through the letter before me, and from the whole tendency of it, I fhould believe you to be a good, though a vain man, if fome circumftances in your conduct did not render the inflexibility of your integrity doubtful; and for this vanity a knowledge of human nature enables me to difcover fuch extenuating circumftances, in the very texture of your mind, that I am ready to call it amiable, and feparate the public from the private character.

I know that a lively imagination renders a man particularly calculated to fline in converfation and in thofe defultory productions where method is difregarded; and the inftantaneous applaure which his eloquence extorts is at once a reward and a fpur. Once a wit and always a wit, is an aphorifn that has received the fanction of experience; yet I am apt to conclude that the man who with fcrupulous anxiety endeavours to fupport that fhining
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character, can never nourifh by reflection any profound, or, if you pleafe, metaphyfical paffion. Ambition becomes only the tool of vanity, and his reafon, the weather-cock of unreftrained feelings, is only employed to varnifh over the faults which it ought to have corrected.

Sacred, however, would the infirmities and errors of a good man be, in my eyes, if they were only difplayed in a private circle; if the venial fault only rendered the wit anxious, like a celebrated beauty, to raife admiration on every occafion, and excite emotion, inftead of the calm reciprocation of mutual efteem and unimpaffioned refpect. Such vanity enlivens focial intercourfe, and forces the little great man to be always on his guard to fecure his throne; and an ingenious man, who is ever on the watch for conqueft, will, in his eagernefs to exhibit his whole fore of knowledge, furnifh an attentive obferver with fome
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ufeful information, calcined by fancy and formed by tafte.

And though fome dry reafoner might whifper that the arguments were fuperficial, and fhould even add, that the feelings which are thus oftentatioufly difplayed are often the cold declamation of the head, and not the effufions of the heart-what will thefe hrewd remarks avail, when the witty arguments and ornamental feelings are on a level with the comprehenfion of the fathionable world, and a book is found very amufing? Even the Ladies, Sir, may repeat your fprightly fallies, and retail in theatrical attitudes many of your fentimental exclamations. Senifibility is the manie of the day, and compaffion the virtue which is to cover a multitude of vices, whilf juftice is left to mourn in fullen filence, and balance truth in vain.

In life, an honeft man with a confined underftanding is frequently the flave of his habits
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and the dupe of his feelings, whiff the man with a clearer head and colder heart makes the paffions of others bend to his intereft; but truly fublime is the character that acts from principle, and governs the inferior fprings of activity without flackening their vigour; whofe feelings give vital heat to his refolves, but never hurry him into feverifh eccentricities.

However, as you have informed us that refpect chills love, it is natural to conclude, that all your pretty fights arife from your pampered fenfibility; and that, vain of this fancied pre-eminence of organs, you fofter every emotion till the fumes, mounting to your brain, difpel the fober fuggeftions of reafon. It is not in this view furprifing, that when you fhould argue you become impaffioned, and that reflection inflames your imagination, inftead of enlightening your underfanding.

Quitting now the flowers of rhetoric, let us, Sir, reafon together; and, believe me, I
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fhould not have meddied with thefe troubled waters, in order to point out your inconfifiencies, if your wit had not burniffied up fome rufty, baneful opinions, and fwelled the fhal low current of ridicule till it tefermbled the flow of reafon, and prefümed to be the teft of truth.

I hhall not attempt to follow you through " horfe-way and foot-path;" but, attacking the foundation of your opinions, I chall leave the fuperfrueture to find a centre of gravity orf which it may lean tilf fome ftrong Blaft puffs it into air; or your teeming fancy, which the ripening judgment of fixty years has not tamed, produces another Chinhefe drection, to ftare, at every turn, the plain country people in the face, who bluntly call fuch an airy edifice-a folly.

The birthright of man, to give you, Sir, a fhort definition of this difputed right, is fuch a degree of liberty, civil and religious, as is
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compatible with the liberty of every other individual with whom he is united in a focial compact, and the continued exiftence of that compact.
Liberty, in this fimple, unfophifticated fenfe, I acknowledge, is a fair idea that has never yet received a form in the various governments that have been eftablighed on our beauteous globe; the demon of property has ever been at hand to encroach on the facred rights of men, and to fence round with awful pomp laws that war with juftice. But that it refults from the eternal foundation of right-from immutable truth - who will prefume to deny, that pretends to rationality-if reafon has led them to build their morality ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ and religion on

[^0]
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an everlafting foundation-the attributes of God?

- I glow with indignation when I attempt, methodically, to unravel your flavih paradoxes, in which I can find no fixed firf principle to refute; I hall not, therefore, condefcend to thew where you affirm in one page what you deny in another; and how frequently you draw conclufions without any previous premifes:-it would be fomething like cowardice to fight with a man who had never exercifed the weapons with which his opponent chofe to combat, and irkfome to refute fentence after fentence in which the latent £pirit of tyranny appeared. I perceive, from the whole tenar of your Reflections, that you have a mortal antipathy to reafon; but, if there is any thing like argument, or firf principles, in your wild declamation, behold the refult:-that we are ta reverence the ruft of antiquity, and term the unnatural
unnataral cuftoms, which ignorance and miftaken felf-intereft have confolidated, the fage fruit of experience: nay, that, if we do difcover fome errors, our feelings fhould lead us to excufe, with blind love, or unprincipled filial affection, the venerable veftiges of ancient days. Thefe are gothic notions of beauty-the ivy is beautiful, but, when it infidioufly deftroys the trunk from which it receives fupport; who would not grub it up?

Further, that we ought cautioully to remain for ever in frozen inactivity, becaufe a thaw, whilt it nourifhes the foil, fpreads a temporary inundation; and the fear of rifking any perfonal prefent convenience fhould prevent a fruggle for the', moft eftimable advantages. This is found reafoning, I grant, in the mouth of the rich and fhort-fighted.

Yes, Sir, the ftrong gained riches, the few have facrificed the many to their vices; and, to be able to pamper their appetites, and fupinely
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fupinely exift without exercifing mind or body; they have ceafed to be men.-Lof to the relih of true pleafure, fuch beings would; ine deed, deferve compafiion, if injuftice was not foftened by the tyrant's plea-neceflity; if prefcription was not raifed as an immortal boundary againft innovation. Their minds, in fact, inftead of being cultivated, have been fo warped by education, that it may require forats ages to bring them back to nature, and enable them to fee their true intereft, with that dey gree of convietion which is neceffary to influence their conduct.

The civilization which has taken place in Europe has been very partial, and, like every cuftom that an arbitrary point of honour has eftablifhed, refines the manners at the expence of morals, by making fentiments and opinions current in converfation that have no root in the heart, or weight in the cooler refolves of the mind.-And what has fopped its progrefs? hereditary
hereditary property-hereditary honours. The man has been changed into an artificial monfter by the fation in which he was born, and the confequent homage that benumbed his faculties like the torpedo's touch;-or a being, with a capacity of reafoning, would not have failed to difcover, as his faculties unfolded, that true happinefs arofe from the friendhip and intimacy which can only be enjoyed by equals; and that charity is not a condefending diftribution of alms, but an intercourfe of good offices and mutual benefics, founded on refpect for juftice and humanity.

Governed by thefe principles, the poor wretch, whofe inelegant diffrefs extorted from a mixed feeling of difguft and animal fympathy prefent relief, would have been confidered as a man, whofe mifery demanded a part of his birthright, fuppofing him to be induftrious; but hould his vices have reduced him to poverty, he could only have addreffed his
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fellow-men as weak beings, fubject to like paffions, who ought to forgive, becaufe they expect to be forgiven, for fuffering the impulfe of the moment to filence the fuggeftions of confcience, or reafon, which you will; for; in my view of things, they are fynonymous terms.
Will Mr. Burke be at the trouble to inform hs, how far we are to go back to diffover the ights of men, fince the light of reafon is fuch - fallacious guide that none but fools truft to its cold inveftigation?
In the infancy of fociety, confining our view to our own country, cuftoms were eftablifhed by the lawlefs power of an ambitious individual; or a weak prince was obliged to comply with every demand of the licentious barbarous infurgents, who difputed his authority with irrefragable arguments at the point of their fwords; or the more fpecious requefts
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of the Parliament, who only allowed him conditional fupplies.

Are thefe the venerable pillars of our conftitution? And is Magna Charta to reft for its chief fupport on a former grant, which reverts to another, till chaos becomes the bafe of the mighty ftructure-or we cannot tell what ${ }^{?} \rightarrow$ for coherence, without fome pervading principle of order, is a folecifm.
Speaking of Edward the IIId. Hume obferves, that 'he was a prince of great capacity, ' not governed by favourites, not led aftray by ' any unruly paffion, fenfible that nothing could - be more effential to his interefts than to keep ' on good terms with his people: yet, on the ' whole, it appears that the government, at ' beft, was only a barbarous monarchy, not ' regulated by any fixed maxims, or bounded - by any certain or undifputed rights, which in ' praftice were regularly obferved. The King

- conducted
: conducted himfelf by one fet of principless - the Barons by another; the Commons by a 'third; the Clergy by a fourth. All thefe - fyftems of government were oppofite and ( incompatible: aach of them prevailed in its ' turn, as incidents were favourable to it: : 2 ' great prince rendered the monarchical power ' predominant: the weaknefs of a king gave
- reins to the ariftocracy: a fuperfitious age
- faw the clergy triumphant: the people, for
( whom chiefly government was inflituted, and
' who chiefly deferve confideration, were the
' weakeft of the whole.'
And juft before that moft aufpicious æra, the fourteenth century, during the reign of Richard II. whofe total incapacity to manage the reins of power, and keep in fubjection his haughty Barons, rendered him a mere cypher; the Houfe of Commons, to whom he was obliged frequently to apply, not only for fubfidies but affiftance to quell the infurredions
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that the contempt in which he was held natu:rally produced, gradually rofe into power; for whenever they granted fupplies to the King, they demanded in return, though it bore the name of petition, a confirmation, or the renewal of former charters, which had been infringed, and even utterly difregarded by the King and his feditious Barons, who principally held their independence of the crown by force of arms, and the encouragement which they gave to robbers and villains, who infefted the country, and lived by rapine and violence.

To what dreadful extremities were the poorer fort reduced, their property, the fruit of their induftry, being entirely at the difpofal of their lords, who were fo many petty tyrants!

In return for the fupplies and affiftance which the king received from the commons, they demanded privileges, which Edward, in his diftrefs for money to profecute the numer-
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ous wars in which he was engaged during the greater part of his reign, was conftrained to grant them; fo that by degrees they fofe to power, and became a check on both king and nobles. Thus was the foundation of our liberty effablifhed, chiefly through the preffing neceffities of the king, who was more intent on being fupplied for the moment, in order to carry on his wars and ambitious projects, than aware of the blow he gave to kingly power, by thus making a body of men feel their importance, who afterwards might Arenuoufly oppofe tyranny and oppreffion, and effectually guard the fubject's property from feizure and confifcation: Richard's weaknefs completed what Edward's ambition began. At this period, it is true, Wickliffe opened a vifta for reafon by attacking fome of the moft pernicious tenets of the church of Rome; ftill the profpect was fufficiently mifty to
authorize the queftion-Where was the dignity of thinking of the fourteenth century?
A Roman Catholic, it is true, enlightened by the reformation, might, with fingular propriety, celebrate the epoch that preceded it, to turn our thoughts from former atrocious enormities; but a Proteftant muft acknowledge that this faint dawn of liberty only made the fubfiding darknefs more vifible, and that the boafted virtues of that century all bear the ftamp of ftupid pride and headftrong barbarifm. Civility was then called condefcenfion, and oftentatious almfgiving bumanity; and men were content to borrow their virtues, or, to fpeak with more propriety, their confequence, from pofterity, rather than undertake the arduous tafk of acquiring it for themfelves.
: The imperfection of all modern governments muft, without waiting to repeat the
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trite remark, that all human inftitutions are unavoidably imperfect, in a great meafure have arifen from this fimple circumftance, that the conflitution, if fuch an heterogeneous mafs deferve that name, was fettled in the dark days of ignorance, when the minds of men were Shackled by the groffeft prejudices and moft immoral fuperftition. And do you, Sir, a fagacious philofopher, recommend night as the fitteft time to analyze a ray of light?
Are we to feek for the rights of men in the ages when a few marks were the only penalty impofed for the life of a man, and death for death when the property of the rich was touched? when-I bluh to difcover the depravity of our nature-when a deer was killed $!$ Are thefe the laws that it is natural to love, and facrilegious to invade? -Were the rights of men underfood when the law authorized or tolerated murder ? $\rightarrow$ or is power and right the fame in your creed ?
$\mathrm{C}_{2}$
But

But in fact all your declamation leads fó directly to this conclufion, that I befeech you to afk your own heart, when you call yourfelf a friend of liberty, whether it would not be more confiftent to ftyle yourfelf the champion of property, the adorer of the golden image which power has fet up?-And, when you are examining your heart, if it would not be too much like mathematical drudgery, to which a fine imagination very reluctantly foops, enquire further, how it is confiftent with the vulgar notions of honefty, and the foundation of morality-truth; for a man to boat of his virtue and independence, when he cannot forget that he is at the moment enjoying the wages of falfehood ${ }^{\text {b }}$; and that, in a fkulking, unmanly way, he has fecured himfelf a penfion of fifteen hundred pounds per annum on the Irih eftablifhment? Do honeft men, Sir, for $I \mathrm{am}$ not rifing to the refined principle of

[^1]
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honour, ever receive the reward of their public fervices, or fecret affiftance, in the name of anotber?

But to return from a digreffion which you will more perfectly underftand than any of my readers-on what principle you, Sir, can juftify the reformation, which tore up by the roots an old eftablifhment, I cannot guefs but, I beg your pardon, perhaps you do not wifh to juftify it-and have fome mental refervation to excufe you, to yourfelf, for not openly avowing your reverence. Or, to go further back; -had you been a Jew-you would have joined in the cry, crucify him!crucify him! The promulgator of a new doctrine, and the violator of old laws and cuftoms, that not melting, like ours, into darknefs and ignorance, refted on Divine authority, muft have been a dangerous innovator, in your eyes, particularly if you had not been informed that the Carpenter's Son was of the
C
ftock
ftock and lineage of David. But there is no end to the arguments which might be deduced to combat fuch palpable abfurdities, by thewing the mànifeft inconfiftencies which are neceffarily involved in a direful train of falfe opinions.
It is neceffary emphatically to repeat, that there are rights which men inherit at their birth, as rational creatures, who were raifed above the brute creation by their improvable faculties; and that, in receiving thefe, not from their forefathers but, from God, prefcription can never undermine natural rights.

A father may diffipate his property without his child having any right to complain;-but fhould he attempt to fell him for a flave, or fetter him with laws contrary to reafon; nature, in enabling him to difcern good from evil, teaches him to break the ignoble chain, and not to believe that bread becomes flefh,
and wine blood, becaufe his parents fwallowed the Eucharift with this blind perfuafion.

There is no end to this implicit fubmiffion to authority-fome where it muft ftop, or we return to barbarifm; and the capacity of improvement, which gives us a natural fceptre on earth, is a cheat, an ignis-fatuus, that leads us from inviting meadows into bogs and dunghills. And if it be allowed that many of the precautions, with which any alteration was made, in our government, were prudent, it rather proves its weaknefs than fubflantiates an opinion of the foundnefs of the flamina, or the excellence of the conflitution.

But on what principle Mr. Burke could defend American independence, I cannot conceive; for the whole tenor of his plaufible arguments fettles flavery on an everlafting foundation, Allowing his fervile reverence for antiquity, and prudent attention to felfintereft, to have the force which he infifts on,
$\mathrm{C}_{4}$
the
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the flave trade ought never to be abolinied; and, becaufe our ignorant forefathers, not anderftanding the native dignity of man, fanctioned a traffic that outrages every fuggeftion of reafon and religion, we are to fubmit to the inhuman cuftom, and term an atrocious infult to humanity the love of our country, and a proper fubmiffion to the laws by which our property is fecured.-Security of property! Behold, in a few words, the definition of Englifh liberty. And to this felfifh principle every nobler one is facrificed.-The Briton takes place of the man, and the image of God is loft in the citizen! But it is not that enthufiaftic flame which in Greece and Rome confumed every fordid paffion; no, felf is the focus; and the difparting rays rife not above our foggy atmofphere. But foftly-it is only the property of the rich that is fecure; the man who lives by the fweat of his brow has no afylum from oppreffion; the ftrong man
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may enter-when was the cafte of the poor facred? and the bafe informer fteal him from. the family that depend on his induftry for fubfiftence.

Fully fenfible as yoú muit be of the baneful confequences that inevitably follow this notofious infringement on the deareft rights of men, and that it is an infernal blot on the very face of our imhiaculate sonflitution, I fannot avoid expreffing my furprife that when ou recommended our form of government as 2 model, you did not caution the French gainft the arbitrary cuftom of preffing men for the fea fervice. You thould have hinted to them, that property in England is much more fecure than liberty, and not have concealed that the liberty of an honeft mechanic-his all - its often facrificed to fecure the property of the rich. For it is a farce to pretend that a man fights for bis country, bis bearth, or bis altars, when he has neither liberty nor pro-
perty.-His property is in his nervous armsand they are compelled to pull a ftrange rope at the furly command of a tyranṇic boy, who probably obtained his rank on account of his family connections, or the proftituted vote of his father, whofe intereft in a borough, or voice as a fenator, was acceptable to the minifter.

Our penal laws punifh with death the thief who fteals a few pounds; but to take by violence, or trepan, a man, is no fuch heinous offence.-For who fhall dare to complain of the venerable veftige of the law that rendered the life of a deer more facred than that of a man? But it was the poor man with only his native dignity who was thus oppreffed-and only metaphyfical fophifts and cold mathema* ticians can difcern this infubftantial form; it is a work of abftraction-and a gentleman of lively imagination muft borrow fome drapery from fancy before he can love or pity a man.Mifery,
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Mifery, to reach your heart, I perceive, muft ave its cap and bells; your tears ate referved, ery naturally confidering your charater, for he declamation of the theatre, or for the downall of queens, whofe rank alters the nature of olly, and throws a graceful veil over vices that legrade humanity; whiff the diftrefs of many nduftrious mothers, whofe belpmates have een torn from them, and the hungry cry of elplefs babes, were vulgar forrows that could ot move your commiferation, though they inight extort an alms. - The tears that are fhed for fictitious forrow are admirably adapted,' fays Rouffeau, ' to make us proud ' of all the virtues which we do not poffers.' The baneful effects of the defpotic practice of preffing we fhall, in all probability, foon feel; for a number of men, who have been taken from their daily employments, will hortly be let loofe on fociety, now that there is no longer any apprehenfion of a war.

The

The valgar, and by this epithet I mean not only to defcribe a clafs of people, who, work ing to fupport the body, have not had time to cultivate their minds; but likewife thofe who, born in the lap of affluence, have never had their invention Sharpened by neceffity are, nine out of ten, the creatures of habit and impulfe.

If I were not afraid to derange your nervous fyftem by the bare mention of a metaphyfical enquiry, 1 hhould obferve, Sir, that felf-prefervation is, literally fpeaking, the firft law of nature; and that the care neceffary to fupport and guard the body is the firf ftep to unfold the mind, and infpire a manly fpirit of independence. The mewing babe in fwaddlingclothes, who is treated like a fuperior being, may perchance become a gentleman; but na* ture muft have given him uncommon faculties if, when pleafure hangs on every bough, he has fufficient fortitude either to exercife his
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hind or body in order to acquire perfonal met. The paffions are neceffary auxiliaries of zafon: a prefent impulfe pufhes us forward, hd when we difcover that the game did not eferve the chace, we find that we have gone ver much ground, and not only gained many ew ideas, but a habit of thinking. The exrcife of our faculties is the great end, though ot the goal we had in view when we farted fith fuch eagernefs.
It would be ftraying fill further into metahyfics to add, that this is one of the frongeft rguments for the natural immortality of the ouf.-Every thing looks like a means, nothing ike an end, or point of reft, when we can ray, now let us fit down and enjoy the prefent moment; our faculties and wifhes are proportioned to the prefent feene; we may return without repining to our fifter clod. And, if no confcious dignity whifper that we are capable of relifing more refined pleafures, the

## ( $3^{\circ}$ )

thirft of truth appears to be allayed; and thought, the faint type of an immaterial energy , no longer bounding it knows not where, is confined to the tenement that affords it fufficient variety.-The rich man may then thank his God that he is not like other men but when is retribution to be made to the miferable, who cry day and night for help, and there is no one at band to help them? And not only mifery but immorality proceeds from this ftretch of arbitrary authority. The vulgar have not the power of emptying their mind of the only ideas they imbibed whilf their hands were emplojed; they cannot quickly turn from one kind of life to another. Preffing them entirely unhinges their minds; they acquire new habits, and cannot return to their old occupations with their former readinefs; confequently they fall into idlenefs, drunkennefs, and the whole train of vices which you ftigmatife as grofs.

## ( 32 )

- You have thewn, Sir, by your filence on thefe fubjects, that your refpect for rank has fwallowed up the common feelings of humanity; you feem to confider the poor as only the live fock of an eftate, the feather of hereditary nobility. When you had fo little refpect for the filent majefty of mifery, I am not furprifed at your manner of treating an individual whofe brow a mitre will never grace, and whofe popularity may have wounded your vanity-for vanity is ever fore. Even in France, Sir, before the revolution, literary celebrity procured a man the treatment of a gentleman; but you are going back for your credentials of politenefs to more diftant times.-Gothic affability is the mode you think proper to adopt, the condefcenfion of a Baron, not the civility of a liberal man. Politenefs is, indeed, the only fubflitute for humanity; or what diftinguifhes the civilifed man from the unlettered favage? and he who is not governed by reaion fhould
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fquare his behayiour by an arbitrary ftandard; but by what rule your attack on Dr. Price: was regulated we have yet to learn. I agree with you, Sir, that the pulpit is not the place for political difcuffions, though it: might be more excufable to enter on fuch a fubject, when the day wwas fet apart merely to commemorate a political revolution, and no fated duty was encroached upon. I will, however, wave this point, and allow that Dr . Price's zeal may have carried him further than found reafon can jultify. I do allo moft cordially coincide with you, that till we can fee the remote confequences of things, prefent calamities muft appear in the ugly form of evil, and excite our commiferation. The good, that time flowly educes from them may be hid from mortal eye, or dimly feen; whilft fympathy compels man to feel for man, and almoft reftrains the hand that would amputate a limb to fave the whole body. But, after
making this conceffion, allow me to expoffulate with you, and cafmly hold up the glafs which will fhew you your partial feelings.
In reprobating Dr. Price's. opinions you might have fpared the man; and if you had had but half as much reverence for the grey hairs of virtue as for the accidental diftinctions of rank, you would not have treated with fuch indecent familiarity and fupercilious contempt, a member of the community whofe talents and modeft virtues place him high in the fcale of moral excellence. I am not accuftomed to look up with vulgar awe, even when mental fuperiority exalts a man above his fellows; but ftill the fight of a man whofe habits are fixed by piety and reafon, and whofe virtues are confolidated into goodnefs, commands my ho-mage-and I fhould touch his errors with a tender hand when I made a parade of my fenfibility. Granting, for a moment, that Dr. Price's political opinions are Utopian reveries;
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and that the world is not yet fufficiently civilized to adopt fuch a fublime fyftem of morality; they could, however, only be the reveries of a benevolent mind. Tottering on the verge of the grave, that worthy man in his whole life never dreant of Aruggling for power or riches; and, if a glimpfe of the glad dawn of liberty tekindled the fire of youth in his veins, you, who could not fand the fafcinating glance of a great Lady's eyes, when neither virtue nor fenfe beamed in them, might have pardoned his unfeemly tranfport,-if fuch it muft be deemed.
I could almoft fancy that I now fee this refpectable old man, in his pulpit, with hands clafped, and eyes devoutly fixed, praying with all the fimple energy of unaffected piety; or, when more erect, inculcating the dignity of virtue, and enforcing the doctrines his life adorns; benevolence animated each feature, and perfuafion attuned his accents; the preacher
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grew eloquent, who only laboured to be clear; and the refpect that he extorted, feemed only the refpect due to perfonified virtue and mar tured wifdom. - Is this the man you brand. with fo many opprobrious epithets? he whofe private life will ftand the teft of the ftricteft enquiry-away with fuch unmanly farcafins, and puerile conceits.-But, before I clofe this part of my animadverfions, I muft convict you of wilful mifreprefentation and waiton abufe.

Dr. Price, when he reafons on the neceffity of men attending fome place of public worfhip, concifely obviates an objection that has been made in the form of an apology, by advifing thofe, who do not approve of our Liturgy, and cannot find any mode of worlhip out of the church, in which they can confcientioully join, to eftablifh one for themfelves. This plain advice you have tortured into a very different meaning, and reprefented the preacher
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as actuated by a diffenting phrenfy, recommending diffenfions, sot to diffufe truth, 'but to fpread contradictions ${ }^{\text {C.' ' A fimple quef- }}$ tion will filence this impertinent declamation. -What is truth? A few fundamental truths meet the firft enquiry of reafons and appear as: clear to an unwarped mind, as that air and bread are neceffary to enable the body to fulfil its vital functions; but the opinions which men difcufs with fo much heat muft be fimplified and brought back to firft principles; or who can difcriminate the vagaries of the imagination, or fcrupulofity of weaknefs, from the verdiet of reafon? Let all thefe points be demonftrated, and not determined by arbitrary authority and dark traditions, left a dangerous fupinenefs thould take place; for probably, in ceafing to enquire, our reafon would remain dormant, and delivered up, without a curb, to every impulfe of paffion, we might
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foon
foon lofe fight of the clear light which thes exercife of our underftanding no longer kept alive. To argue from experience, it fhould feem as if the human mind, averfe to thought, could only be opened by neceffity; for, when it can take opinions on truft, it gladly lets the fpirit lie quiet in its grofs tenement. Perhaps the moft improving exercife of the mind, confining the argument to the enlargement of the underfanding, is the reftlefs enquiries that hover on the boundary, or fretch over the dark abyfs of uncertainty. Thefe lively conjefures are the breezes that preferve the ftill lake from ftagnating. We fould be aware of confining all moral excellence to one channel, however capacious; or, if we are fo narrow-minded, we fhould not forget how much we owe to chance that our inheritance was not Mahometifm; and that the iron hand of deftiny, in the fhape of deeply rooted authority, has not fufpended the fword of de-
ftruction
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ghuction over our heads. But to return to the mifreprefentation.
${ }^{d}$ Blackftone, to whom Mr. Burke pays great deference, feems to agree with Dr. Price, that the fucceffion of the King of Great Britain depends on the choice of the people, or that they
d 'The doctrine of bereditary right does by no means 'imply an indefeafible right to the throne. No man will, -I think, affert this, that has confidered our laws, confti' tution, and hiftory, without prejudice, and with any de' gree of attention. It is unqueftionably in the breaft of - the fupreme legiflative authority of this kingdom, the - King and both Houres of Parliament, to defeat this he' reditary right; and, by particular entails, limitations, - and provifions, to exclude the immediate heir, and veft s the inheritance in any one elfe. This is ftrictly confo' nant to our laws and conflitution; as may be gathered ' from the expreffion fo frequently ufed in our fatute 'books, of "the King's Majefty, his heirs, and fuccer"fors." In which we may obferve that, as the word "heirs" neceflarily implies an inheritance, or hereditary "right, generally fubfifting in "the royal perfon;" fo the ' word fucceffors, diftinctly taken, muft imply that this - inheritance may fometimes be broken throigh; or, that
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they have a power to cut it off, but tid power, as you have fully proved, has been ${ }^{3}$ cautiounfy exerted, and might with more propriety be termed a rigbt than a power. Be it fo!-yet when you elaborately cited priecedents to fhew that our forefathers paid great refpect to hereditary claims, you might have gone back to your favourite epoch, and fhewn their refpect for a church that fulminating laws have fince loaded with opprobrium. The preponderance of inconfiftencies, when weighed with precedents, fhould leffen the moft bigotted veneration for antiquity, and force men
' there may be a fucceffor, without being the heir of the ' king.'

I fhall not, however, reft in fomething like a fubterfuge, and quote, as partially as you have done, from Ariftotle. Blackftone has fo cautioufly fenced round his, opinion with provifos, that it is obvious he thought, the letter of the law leaned towards your-fide of the queftion-but a blind refpect for the law is not a part of my creed.
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*of the eighteenth century to acknowledge, that our canonized forefatbers were unable, or ${ }^{3}$ afraid, to revert to reafon, without refting on' the crutch of authority; and fhould not be brought as a proof that their children are never? to be allowed to walk alone. $\begin{gathered}\text { rimm } \\ j i\end{gathered}$ is jait
When we doubt the infarible wiffiom of our anceftors, it is only advancing on the fame ground to doubt the fincerity of the law, and the propriety of that fervile appellation-ov $\mathbf{R}^{\circ}$ Sovereign Lord the King. Who were the dietators of this adulatory language of the law? Were they not courtly parafites and worldly priefts? Befides, whoever at divine fervice, whofe feelings were not deadened by habit, or their underftandings quiefcent, ever repeated without horror the fame epithets applied to a man and his Creator? If this is confufed jargon - fay what are the dictates of fober reafon, or the criterion to diftinguifh nonfenfe?

You further farcaftically animadvert on the confiftency of the democratifts, by wrefting the obvious meaning of a common phrafe, the dregs of the people; or your contempt for poverty may have led you into an error. Be that as it may, an unprejudiced man would have directly perceived the fingle fenfe of the word, and an old Member of Parliament could fcarcely have miffed it. He who had fo often felt the pulfe of the electors needed not have gone beyond his own experience to difcover that the dregs alladed to were the vicious, and not the lower clafs of the community.

Again, Sir, I muft doubt your fincerity or your difcernment.-You have been behind the curtain; and, though it might be difficult to bring back your fophifticated heart to nature and make you feel like a man, yet the aweAruck confufion in which you were plunged muft have gone off when the vulgar emotion of
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wonder, excited by finding yourcelf a Senators. had fubfided. Then you mult have feen the clogged wheels of corruption continually oiled by the fweat of the laborious poor, fqueezed out of them by unceafing taxation. You muft have difcovered that the majority in the Houfe of Commons was often purchafed by the crown, and that the people were oppreffed by the influence of their own money, extorted by the venal voice of a packed reprefentation.

You muft have known that a man of merit cannot rife in the church, the army, or navy, unlefs he has fome intereft in a borough; and that even a paltry excifeman's place can only be fecured by electioneering intereft. I will go further, and affert that few Bifhops, though there have been learned and good Bifhops, have gained the mitre without fubmitting to 2 fervility of dependence that degrades the man.-All thefe circumftances you muft have known, yet you talk of virtue and liberty;
as the vulgar talk of the letter of the law; and the polite of propriety. It is true that thefe ceremonial obfervances produce decorum; the fepulchres are white-wathed, and do not offend the fqueamifh eyes of high rank; but virtue is out of the queftion when you only worhip a hadow, and worhip it to fecure your property.

Man has been termed, with frict propriety, a microcofm, a little world in himfelf.- He is fo ; - yet muft, however, be reckoned an ephemera, or, to adopt your figure of rhetoric, a fummer's fly. The perpetuation of property in our families is one of the privileges you moft warmly contend for; yet it would not be very difficult to prove that the mind muft have a very limited range that thus confines its benevolence to fuch a narrow circle, which, with great propriety, may be included in the fordid calculations of blind felflove.
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A brutal attachment to shildren has appeared mof confpicuous in parents who hays treated them like flaves, and demanded due homage for all the properity they transfenred to them, during their livesni It has led them to force their children to break the moft far cred ties ; to do violence to a natural impulse? and run into legal proftitution to increafe wealth or hum poverty; and, fitl worfe, the dread of varental malediction has made many weak charagters violate truth ing the face of Heaven ; and, to avoid a father's angry curfos the moft facred promifes have been broken. It appears to be a natural fuggeftion ef reafon, that a man thould be freed from implicit obedience to parents and private purifhments, when he is of an age to be fubject to the jurifdiction of the laws of his country; and that the barbarous cruelty of allowing parents to imprifon their children, to prevent their contaminating their noble blood by following the

$$
(46)
$$

dictates of nature when théy chofe to mâry, or for any mifdemeanor that does not come under the cognizarice of public juftice, is oné of the moft arbittary violations of liberty.
Who can recount all the unnatural crimes which the laudable, interefing defire of perpetuating a name has produced? The younger chiridren have been facfificed to the eldeft fon; fent into exile, or confined in convents, that they might not encroach on what was called, with hameful falfehood, the family eftate. Will Mr. Burke call this parental affection reafonable or virtuous?-No; it is the fpurious offspring of over-weening, miftaken pride -and not that firft fource of civilization, natural parental affection, that makes no difference between child and child, but what reafon juftifies by pointing out fuperior merit.

Another pernicious confequence which unavoidably arifes from this artificial affection is, the infuperable bar which it puts in the way
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of early matriages. It would be difficultt to: determine wheiher the minds or: bodiei of our: youth are mof injured by this impediment. Our young men become felfifh coxcombsy and gallantry with modef women, and intrigues with thofe of another defcription, weaken both mind and body, before either has arrived at maturity. The character of a mafter of a family, a hufband, and a father, forms the citizen imperceptibly, by producing a fober manlinefs of thought, and orderly bchaviour, but, from the lax morals and depraved affections of the libertine, what refults?-2 finical man of tafte, who is only anxious to fecure his own private gratifications, and to maintain his rank in fociety.
The fame fyftem has an equally pernicious effect on female morals.-Girls are facrificed to family convenience, or elfe marry to fettle themfelves in a fuperior rank, and coquet, without reftraint, with the fine gentleman
whom
whom $I$ have already defcribed And to fuch. lengths has this wanity; this defire of fhining, carriedithem, that it is not now neceflary to guard girls againf imprudent love matches; for if fome widows did not now and then fall in love, Love and Hymen'would feldom meet unlefs at a village church.
\& Ido not intend to be farcaftically paradoxical when I fay, that women of faftion take hufbands that they may have it in their power to coquet, the grand bufinefs of genteel life, with a number of ladmirers, and thus flutter the fpring of-life away, withoint laying up anys ftore for the winter of age, or being of any ufe to fociety. Affection in the marriage fate can only be founded on refpect-and are thefe weak beings refpectable? Children are neglected for lovers, and we exprefs furprife that adulteries are fo common! A woman never forgets to adorn herfelf to make an impreffion on the fenfes of the other fex, and to extort

$$
(49=)
$$

the homage which it is gallant to pay; and yet iwe wonder that they have fuch confined
 Have ye not heard that we cannot ferve two mafters? an immoderate, defire to pleafe con-1 trafts the faculties, and immerges, to borrow the idea of a great pbilofophers the foul in matter, till it becomes unable to mount on the wing of contemplation.
It wrould be an arduous tafk to trace all the vice and mifery that arifer inifociety from the middle clafs of people: apeing the, manners of the igreat. All are aiming to procuse refpect, oniaccount of their property; and moft placest are confidered as finecures that enable meai to fart into notice. The grand concern of thrse parts out, of ifour is to contriventol liye above theis equals; and to appean to be richer than they are. How much domettic icomfort and private fatisfaction is facrificed to this irram tional ambitiont It is a deffuctive mildem
(50)
that blights the faireft virtues; benevolence, friendhip, generofity, and all thofe endearing: charities which bind human hearts together, and the purfuits which raife the mind to higher contemplations, all that were rot cankered in the bud by the falfe notion's that 'grew with' its growth and ftrengthened whh' "its Arength," are crufhed by the iroh hand: of property!
Property, I do not feruple to aver it, hould be fluctuating, which would be the cafe, if it were more equally divided amonga all the children of a family, elfe it is an everlating) rampart, in confequence, of a barbarous feudalb infitution, that enables the elder fon to over-: power tatents and deprers virtice. ohni गizff os
Befides, an unmanly fervility, moft inimical to true dignity of charater is, by this means, foftered in fociety. Men of fome abilitiés play's on the follies of the rich, and mounting to fortune as they degrade themfelves, they fand ${ }^{\text {2 }}$
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in the way of men of fuperior talents, who cainot "advance in fuch crooked paths, of wade through the filth which paraffics never boggte ax. Purruing their way ftraight forward, their fpirit is either bent or broken by the rich man's contumelies, or the difficulties they have

${ }^{5}$ The only fecurity of property that nature authorizes and reafon fanetions is, the right a man has to enjog the acquiftions which his talents and indultry have acquired; and to bequeath them to whom he choofes. Happy woild it be for the world if there weteno other road to wealth or honourf, if pride, in the flape of parental affection, did not abforb the man, and prevent friendhip from having the lame weight as relationhhip. Luxury and effeminacy would not then introduce fo much idiotifm into the noble fanilies which form one of the pillars of our fate: the ground would not lie fallow, nor would undireted activity Miniv
E. 2
of
of mind foread the contagion of reflefs idlenefs, and its concomitant, vice, through the whole mafs of fociety.
Inftead of gaming they might nourif a virtuous ambition, and love might take place of the gallantry which you, with knightly fealty, venerate. Women would probably then act like mothers, and the fine lady, become- a rational woman, might think it neceffary to fuperintend her family and fuckle her children, in order to fulfil her part of the focial compact. But vain is the hope, whilft great maffes of property are hedged round by hereditary honours; for numberlefs vices, forced in the hot-bed of wealth, affume a fightly, form to dazzle the fenfes and cloud the underftanding. The refpect paid to rank and fortune damps every generous purpofe of the foul, and flifles the natural affections on which human contentment ought to be built. Who will venturoufly afcend the fleeps of virtue,
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virtue, or explore the great deep for knowledge, when the one tbing needful, attained by lefs arduous exertions, if not inherited, procures thẹ attention man naturally pants after, and vice 'lofes half its evil by lofing all its - groffnefs:. - What a fentiment to come from a moral pen!
A furgeon would tell you that by fkinning over a wound you fpread difeafe through the whole frame; and, furely, they indirectly aim at deftroying all purity of morals, who poifon the very fource of virtue, by fmearing a fentimental varnifh over vice, to hide its natural deformity. Stealing, whoring, and drunkennefs, are grofs' vices, I prefume, though they may not obliterate every moral fentiment, and have a vulgar brand that makes them appear with all their native deformity; but overreaching, adultery, and coquetry, are venial offences, though they reduce virtue to an
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empty name, and make wifdom confit in faving appearances.

- On this fcheme of things ${ }^{f}$ a king is but a ' man; a queen is but a woman; a woman is - but an animal, and an animal not of the ' higheft order.'-All true, Sir; if the is not moré attentive to the duties of humanity than queens and fafhionable ladies in general are. I will ftill further accede to the opinion you have fo juftly conceived of the fpirit which begins to animate this age.-- All homage E paid to the fex in general, as fuch; and with"out diftinet views, is to be regarded as ron ' mance and folly.' Undoubtedly; becaufe fuch homage vitiates them, prevents their endeavouring to obtain folid perfonal merit; and, in fhort, makes thofe beings vain inconfiderate dolls, who ought to be prudent mothers and ufeful members of fociety. ©Regi-- cide and facrilege are but fictions of fuperiti-

[^2]f tion
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*tion corrupting jurifprudence, by deftroying (its fimplicity. The murder of a king, sor a queen, or a bilhop, are only com( mon homicide.'-Again I agree with you; but you perceive, Sir, that by leaving out the word fatber, I think the whole extent of the comparifon invidious.
You further proceed grofsly to mifreprefent Dr. Price's meaning; and, with an affectation of holy fervour, exprefs your indignation at his profaning a beautiful rapturous ejaculation, when alluding to the King of France's fubmiffion to the National Affembly ${ }^{\text {s }}$; he rejoiced to hail a glorigus revolution, which promifed an univerfal diffufion of liberty and happinefs.

Obferve, Sir, that I called your piety affec-tation.-A rant to enable you to point your

E In July, when he firft fubmitted to his people; and not the mobbing triumphal cataftrophe in October, which you chofe, to give full fcope to your declamatory powers.
venomous dart, and round your pefiod. 1 feeak with warmth, becaule, of all hypocites, my foul mof indignantly purns a religious one; and I very cautioully bring forward fuch a heary charge, to ftrip you of your cloak of fanctity. Your fpeech at the time the bill for a regency was agitated now lies before me.Then you could in direet terms, to promote ambitious or interefted views, exclaim without any pious qualms-' Ought they to make a - mockery of him, putting a crown of thorns - on his head, a reed in his hand, and dreffing - him in a raiment of purple, cry, Hail! - King of the Britifh! Where was your fenfibility when you could utter this cruel mockery, equally infulting to God and man? Go hence, thou llave of impulfe, look into the private receffes of thy heart, and take not a mote from thy brother's eye, till thou haft removed the beam from thine own. ads sha
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- Of your partial feelings 1 fhall take $\mathrm{a}^{-}$ other view, and thew that cfollowing nal ' ture, which is,' you fay, ' wifdom with'out reflection, and above it -has led you into great inconfiftences, to ufe the fofteft pharafe. When; on a late melancholy occafion, a very important queftion was agitated, with what indecent warmeth did you treat a woman, for I fhall not lay any frefs on her title, whofe conduct in life has deferved praife, though not, perhaps, the fervile elogiums which have been lavifhed on the queen. But fympathy, and you tell us thit you have a heart of flefh, was made to give way to party fpirit and the feelings of a man, not to allude to your romantic gallantry, to the views of the fatefman. When you defcanted on the horrors of the 6th of OCtober, and gave a glowing, and, in fome inftances, a moft exaggerated defcription of that
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that infernal night, without having troubled yourfelf to clean your palette, you might have returned home and indulged us with a fketch of the mifery you perfonally aggravated.

With what eloquence might you not have infinuated, that the fight of unexpected mifery and frange reverfe of fortune makes the mind recoil on itfelf; and, pondering, traced the uncertainty of all human hope; the frail foundation of fublunary grandeur! What a climax lay before you, A father torn from his children, -a hurband from an affectionate wife,t a man from himfelf!, And not torn by the refiftlefs froke of death, for time would then have lent its aid to mitigate remedilefs forrow; but that living death, which only kept hope alive in the corroding form of fufpenfe, was a calamity that called for all your pity. 5. The fight of auguft ruins, of a depopulated country - what are they to a difordered foul!
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when all the faculties are mixed in wild confufion. It is then indeed we tremble for humanity - and, if fome wild fancy chance to crofs the brain, we fearfully fart, and preffing our hand againf our brow, afk-if we are yet men ?-if our reafon is undifturbed i-if judgment hold the helm? Marius might fit with dignity on the ruins of Carthage, and the wretch in the Baftille, who longed in vain to fee the human face, divine, might yet view the operations of his own mind, and vary the leaden profpect by new combinations of thought: poverty, fhame, and even llavery, may be endured by the virtuous man-he has fill a world to range in-but the lofs of reafon appears a monftrous flaw in the moral world, that eludes all inveftigation, and humbles without enlightening.

In this fate was the King, when you, with unfeeling difrefpect, and indecent hafte, wifhed to frip him of all his hereditary honours. - You
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- were fo eager to tafte the fweets of power; that you could not wait till time had determined, whether a dreadfur delirium would fettle into a confirmed madness; but, prying into the fecrets of Omnipotence, you thundered out that God had burled bim from bis tbrone, and that it was the moft infulting mockery to recolleet that he had been a king, or to treat him with any particular refpect on account of his former dignity. - And who was the monfter whom Heaven had thus awfully depofed, and fmitten with fuch an angry blow? Surely as harmlefs a charater as Lewis XVIth; and the queen of Great Britain, though her heart may not be enlarged by generofity, who will prefume to compare her character with that of the queen of France?

Where then was the infallibility of that extolled inftinct which rifes above reafon ? was it warped by vanity, or burled from its throne by felf-intereft? To your own heart anfwer

## (60)

thefe queftions in the fober hours of reflection - and, after reviewing this guft of paffions; leagn to refpest the fovereignty of reaforis ons I have, Sir, been readings with a forutiniza ing, comparative eye, feveral of your infenfie ble and profane fipeeches during the Kingsa illnefs, II difdain to take advantage of a man's weak fide, or draw confequerces, foom an uns guarded tranfport - A lion preys not pnicarn caffes! But on this occafion youraled fyferbatically. Ist was not the paffion of the mot ment, quer which bumanity draws an veih? no; what but ${ }_{2}$ the odious maximsoof Machiab velian policy could have iled you to have fearched in the yery dregs, of mifary for forcir ble arguments to fupport your partyilu Had not panity or intereft feeled your heart, you would have been hocked at the cold infent fibility which could carry ar man ito thofer dreadful manfions, where human weaknefs appears in its moft awful form to calculete the chances
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chances againf the King's reeovery. Ims prefled as you are with refpect for royalty, 1 am afonifined that you did not tremble at every ftep, left Heaven fhould avenge on your guilty head the infult offered to its vicegerent. But the confcience that is under the direetion of tranfient ebullitions of feeling, is not very tender or conffifent, when the current rums another way.

10qglama bo51suy
-Had you boen in a philofophizing mood, had your heart of your reafon been at home, you might have been convinced, by oculaf demoniftration, that madnefs is binly the abs fence of reafon, La, The ruling angel leaving ith feat, wild anarchy enfues, You wrould hiave Seen that the uncoritrouled fimagination oftef parfues the moft regular? æoutrie in its moft daring flights and that the eceentricities art boldly relieved when jadgment no longer of ficioully arranges the fentiments, by bringing them to the teft of principles! You would
have feeri every thing out of nature in that? ftrange chaos of levity and ferocity, and of all ${ }^{3}$ forts of follies, jumbled together, You would have feen in that monftrous trigi-comic fcene the mof oppofitelipaffions neceffarily fueceed? and fometimes mix with each pther in the mind ; 3 alternate icontempt and indignation $\boldsymbol{y}^{7}$ alternate laughters and tears 3 alterfiate fcom ${ }^{i}$ and horror ${ }^{\text {b }}$-This is a true pieture of that chaotic ftate of mind, called madiberos, when' reafon gone, we know not where, the wild ${ }^{2}$ elements of paffion clath, and lallis horrori and confurion. You might have fieard theq beft tuined conceits, flafh following flam, and ${ }^{t}$ doubted whether the rhapfodys was not efoat quent, if it had not been delivered in'an equíw vocal language, neither verfe nor profe, if ${ }^{i}$ the fparkling periods ${ }^{3}$ had not ${ }^{1}$ food alont, ${ }^{\circ}$
${ }^{n}$ This quotation is not marked with inverted commas, becaufe it is not exaet. P . n .
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wanting force becaufe they; wanted concamil tenation.

It is a proverbial obferkation, that a very thin partition divides wit and madnefs. Poetry therefore naturally addreffes the fancy, and the: language of paffion is with great felicity bores rowed from the heightened pieture which the: imagination draws of fenfible objects concentred by impaffioned reflection: And, during: this "fine phrenfy,' reafon has no right to rein-in the imagination, unlefs to prevent the introduction of fupernumerary images stifithes pgffion is real, the ;head will hot, be ranfackeds for fale tropes and cold rodomontade I now fpeak of the genaine enthufiafm of geniue, which, perhaps, feldom appears, butifinithe infancy of civilization, for as this light bey comes more luminous reafon elips the wing of fancy-the youth becomes a man.
Whether the glory of Earope is fet, I hall not now enquire ; but probably the fpirit of
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romance and chivalry is in the wane; and reafon will gain by its extinction.
Crom obferving feveral cold romantic charaters I have been led to confine the term romantic to one definition-falfe, or rather artificial, feelings, Works of genius are read with a prepoffeffion in their favour, and fentiments imitated, becaufe they were fahionable and pretty, and not becaufe they were forcibly felt.

In modern poetry the underfanding and memory often fabricate the pretended effufions of the heart, and romance deftroys all fimplicity; which, in works of tafte, is but a fynonymous word for truth. This romantic fpirit has extended to our profe, and fcattered artificial flowers over the moft barren heath; or a mixture of verfe and profe producing the ftrangeft incongruities. The turgid bombaft of fome of your periods fully proves thefe affertions; for
when the heart fpeaks we are feldom Shocked by hyperbole, or dry raptures.
I feak in this decided tone, becaufe from turning over the pages of your late publication, with more attention than I did when I firft read it curforily over; and comparing the fentiments it contains with your conduct on many important odcafions, I am led very often to doubt your fincerity, and to fuppofe that you have faid many things merely for the fake of faying them well; or to throw fome pointed obloquy on characters and opinions thattjoflled with your vanity.

It is an arduous tafk to follow the doublings of cunning, or the fubterfuges of inconfiftency; for in controverfy, as in battle, the brave man wifhes to face his enemy, and fight on the fame ground. Knowing, however, the influence of a ruling paffion, and how often it affumes the form of reafon when there is
much fenfibility in the heart, I refpect an opponent, though he tenaciounly maintains opinions in which I cannot coincide; but, if I once difcover that many of thofe opinions are empty rhetorical flourihhes, my refpect is foon changed into that pity which borders on contempt; and the mock dignity and haughty falk, only reminds me of the afs in the lion's fkin.
A fentiment of this kind glanced acrofs my mind when I read the following exclamation. - Whilf the royal captives, who followed in ' the train, were flowly moved along, amidft - the horrid yells, and flarilling fereams, and - frantic dances, and infamous contumelies, - and all the unutterable abominations of the - furies of hell, in the abufed flape of the - vileft of women!: Prpbably you mean women who gained a livelihood by felling vegetables or fifh, who never had had any advan-

[^3]F 2
tages of education ; or their vices' might have loft part of theit abominable deformity, by lofing part of their groffinefs. The queen of France-the great and fmall vulgar, claim our pity; they have almoft infuperable obftacles to furmount in their progrefs towards true dignity of charater; / fill I have fuch a plain downright underfanding that $I$ do not like to make a diftinction without a difference. But it is not very extraordinary that you fhould, for throughout your letter you frequently advert to a fentimental jargon, which has long been current in converfation, and even in books' of morals, though it never received the regal famp of reafon. A kind of myterious in- ${ }^{-1}$ finet is fuppofed to refide in the foul, that inftantaneoully difcerns truth, without the tedious labour of ratiocination. This inftinet, for I know not what other name to give it, has been termed common fenfe, and more fres quently fenjbility; and, by a kind of indefeafi-
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ble right, it has been Juppofed, for rights of this kind are not cafily proved, to reign paramount over the other faculties of the minit, and to be an authority from which there is no: appeal.
This fubtle magrietic fluid, that runs round the whole circle of fociety, is not fubject to. any known rule, or, to ufe an obnoxious? phrafe, in fpite of the fneers of mock humi- ] lity, of the timid fears of fome well-mieaning Chriftians; who fhrink from any freedom of thought, left they fhould roufe the old ferpent, to the eternal fitinefs of tbings. It dips, we: know not why, granting it to be an infallible: inftinet, and, though fuppofed always to point? to truth, its pole-ftar, the point is always chifting, and fellom flands due north:
It is to this inftinct, without doubt, that : you allude, when you talk of the 'moral - conflitution of the hearts To it, I allow, for I cónfider it as a congregate of fenfations:
;)
F 3
and
and paffions, Poets muft apply, swho have to - deal with an audience not yet graduated in: 'the fehool of the rights of men.' They muft, it is clear, often cloud the underfanding, whilft they move the heart by a kind of mechanical fprings but that ${ }^{-}$in the theatre the - firf intuitive glance' of feeling fhould dif-s criminate the form of truth, and fee her fair proportion, I muft beg leave to doubt. Sacred be the feelings of the heart! concentred in a glowing flame, they become the fun of life; and, without his invigorating imprégnation, reafon would probably lie in helplefs inactivity, and never bring forth het only legitimate off-fpring-virtue. But to prove that virtue is really an acquifition of the individual, and not the blind impulfe of unerring inftinct, the baf-i tard vice has often been begotten by the fame father.
In what refpect are we fuperior to the brute creation, if intellect is not allowed to be the guide.

$$
(7 x)
$$

guide of paffion? Brutes hope and fear, love and hate; but, without a capacity to improve, a power of turning thefe paffions to good or evil, they neither acquire virtue nor wifdom. -Why? Becaufe the Creator has not given them reafon ${ }^{k}$.
But the cultivation of reafon is an arduous' tafk, and men of lively fancy, finding it eafier to follow the impulfe of paffion, endeavour to perfuade themfelves and others that it is moft natural. And happy is it for thofe, who indolently let that heaven-lighted fpark reft like the ancient lamps in fepulchres, that fome virtuous habits, with which the reaion of others fhackled them, fupplies its place.Affection for parents, reverence for fuperiors or antiquity, notions of honour, or that worldly

[^4]$$
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$$
felf-intereft that fhrewdly fhews them that honefty is the beft policy: all proceed from the reafon for which they ferve as fubflitutes; but it is reafon at fecond-hand.

Children are born ignorant, confequently innocent ; the paffions, are neither good nor evil difpofitions, till they receive a direction, and either bound over the feeble barrier raifed by a faint glimmering of unexercifed reafon, called confcience, or ftrengthen her wavering ${ }_{5}$ dietates till found principles are deeply rooted ${ }_{2 i}$ and able to cope with the headfrong paffions, that often affume her awful form. What moral purpofe can be anfwered by extolling good difpofitions, as they are called, when thefe good difpofitions are defribed as inftincts: for inftinct moves in a direct line to its ultimate end, and afks not for guide or fupport. But if virtue is to be acquired by experience, or taaght by example, reafon, perfected by reflection, muft be the director of the whole hoft of
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paffions, which producee fructifying heat, but no light, that you would exalt into her place.-rShe muft hold the rudder, or, let the wind blow which way it lif, the veffel will never: advance fooothly to its deftined ports for thes time loft in tacking about would dreadfully $y_{a}$ impede its progrefs.
In the name of the people of England, yous fay, 'that we know we have made no difco - veries; and we think that no difcoveries are, 'to be made in morality; nor many in the - great principles of governments, nor in the: - ideas of liberty, which were underftood longd

- before we were born, altogether as well as
- they will be after the grave has heaped its?
- mould upon our prefumption, and the filent
' tomb 'hall have impofed its law on our pert;
- loquacity. In England we have not yet been;
- completely emboweled of our natural en-
- trails; we ftill feel within us, and we cherifh
! and cultivate thofe inbred fentiments which $5 \quad 5 \quad-\quad$ are
sare the faithful guardians, the active moni-- tors of our daty, the true fupporters of all - libetal and manly morale ${ }^{3}$-What do you mean by inbred fentitinents ? From whence do they come? How were they bred? Are they the brood of "folly," which fwarm like the infects on the banks of the Nile, when mud ${ }^{\text {i }}$ and putrefaction have enriched the languid foil ? Were thefe inbred fentiments faithful guardians of our duty when the church was an afylum for murderers, and men worlhipped bread as a God? when flavery was authorized by law to faften her fangs on human flefh,' and the iron eat into the very foul? If thefe fentiments are not acquired, if our paffive difpofitions do not expand into virtuous affections and paffions, why are not the Tartars in the firft rude horde endued with fentiments ${ }^{\circ}$ white and elegant as the driven fnow? Why is paffion or heroifm the child of reflection, the ${ }^{2}$


## (75.)

confequence of dwelling with intent contem-3 plation on one object? The appetites are the only perfect inbred powers that I can difcern; and they like inftinets have a certain: aim, they can be fatisfied-but improveable. reafon has not yet difcovered the perfection it may arrive at-God forbid!
Firf, however, it is neceffary to make what we know practical. Who can deny, that has marked the flow progrefs of civilization, that men may become more virtuous and happy without any new difcovery in motals? Who will venture to affert that virtue would not be promoted by the more extenfive cultivation of reafon? If nothing more is to be done, let us: eat and drink, for to-morrow we die-tand die for ever! Who will pretend to fay, that there is as much happinefs diffuled on this' globe as it is capable of affording i as many: focial virtues as reafon would fofter, if fle: could gain the ftrength the is able to acquire
even in this imperfect ftate; if the voice of nature was allowed to fpeak audibly from the bottom of the heart, and the native unalienable rights of men were recognized in their full force; if factitious merit did not take place of genuine acquired virtue, and enable men to build their enjoyment on the mifery of, theinfellow creatares; if men were more under the dominion of reafon than opinion, and did not cherifh their prejudices 'becaufe theywere 'prejudices ${ }^{m}$ ? I am not, Sir, aware of your fneers, hailing a millennium, though a ftate of greater purity of morals may not be a merev poetic fiction; nor did-my fancy ever create; a heaven on earth, fince reafon threw off her? fwaddling clothes. I perceive, but too form cibly, that happinefs, literally fpeaking; dwells not here; - and that wive wander to and fro in ${ }^{2}$ a vale of dárkners as whell as tears. - perceive that my paffions purfue objects that the ima-
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gination
gination enlarges, till they become only a fablime idea that fhrinks from the enquiry of fenfe, and mocks the experimental pbilofophers who would confine this fpiritual phlo gifton in their material crucibles. I know that the human underfanding is deluded with vain fhadows, and that when we eagerly purfue any ftudy, we only reach the boundary fet to human enquires. - Thus far fhalt thou go; and no further, fays fome ftern difficulty; and the caufe we were purfuing melts intoutter darknefs. But thefe are only the trials of contemplative minds, the foundation of virtue remains firm. - The power of exercifing our underflanding raifes us above the brutes; and this exercife produces that "primary morality;" which you term ' untaught feelings.'

If vitue be an inftinft, I renounce all hope of immortality; and with it all the fublime reveries and dignified fentiments that have fmoothed the rugged path of life: it is all a
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cheat, a lying vifion; I have difquieted myfelf in vain; for in my eye all feelings are falfe and fpurious, that do not reft on juftice as their foundation, and are not concentred by univerfal love.

I reverence the rights of men. - Sacred rights! for which I acquire a more profound refpect, the more I look into my own mind; and, profefling thefe heterodox opinions, I ftill preferve my bowels; my heart is human, beats quick with human fympathies-and I fear God!
I bend with awful reverence when I, enquire on what my fear is built.-I fear that fublime power, whofe motive for creating me muft have been wife and good; and I fubmit to the moral laws which my reafon deduces from this view of my dependence on him.It is not his power that I fear-it is not to an arbitrary will, but to unerring reafon I fubmit. -Submit-yes; I difregard the charge of
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arrogance, to the law that regulates his juft refolves; and the happinefs I pant after muft be the fame in kind, and produced by the fame exertions as his-though unfeigned humility overwhelms every idea that would prefume to compare the goodnefs which the moft exalted created being could acquire, with the grand fource of life and blifs.

This fear of God makes me reverence myfelf.-Yes, Sir, the regard I have for honeft fame, and the friendihip of the virtuous, falls far thort of the refpect which I have for myfelf. And this, enlightened felf-love, if an epithet the meaning of which has been grofsly perverted will convey my idea, forces me to fee; and, if I may venture to borrow a proftituted term, to foel, that happinefs is reflected, and that, in communicating good, my foul receives its noble aliment.-I do not trouble myfelf, therefore, to enquire whether this is the fear the people of England feel:-
and, if it be natural to include all the modifications which you have annexed-it is not ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Befides, I eannot help farpecting that, if you had the enligbtened refpect for yourfelf, which you affect to derpife, you would not have faid that the confitution of our church and fate, formed, like moft other modern ones, by degrees, as Europe was emerging out of barbarifm, was formed 'under' the aufpices, and 'was confirmed by the fanctions, of religion *and piety. You have turned over the hiftorie page; have been hackneyed in the ways of men, and muft know that private cabals and public feuds, private virtues and vices, religion and fuperfition, have all concurred to foment the mafs and fwell it to its prefent form ; nay more, that it in part owes

[^5]
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its fightly appearance to bold rebellion and infidious innovation. Factions, Sir, have been the leaven, and private intereft bas produce: public good.

Thefe general reflections are not thrown out to infinuate that virtue was a creature of yefterday: No; fhe had her chare in the grand drama. I guard againft mifreprefentation; but the man who cannot modify general affertions, has fcarcely learned the firft rudiments of reafoning. I know that there is a great portion of virtue in the Romibh church, yet I hould not choofe to neglect clothing myfelf with a garment of my own righteoufs, nefs, depending on a kind donative of works of fupererogation. I know that there are many clergymen, of all denominations, wife and virtuous ; yet I have not that refpest for the whole body, which, you fay, characterizes our nation, 'emanating from a certain ' plainnefs and directnefs of underftanding.' -

Now we are fumbling on inbred feelings and fecret lights again-or, I beg your pardon, it may be the furbihed up face which you choofe to give to the argument.

It is a well-known faet, that when we, the people of England, have a fon whom we fcarcely know what to do with-we make a clergyman of him. When a living is in the gift of a family, a fon is brought up to the church; but not always with hopes full of immortality. 'Such fublime principles are not - confantly infufed into perfons of exalted 'birth;' they fometimes think of 'the pal-- try pelf of the moment ${ }^{\circ}$ - and the vulgar care of preaching the gofpel, or practifing felfdenial, is left to the poor curates, who, arguing on your ground, cannot have, from the fcanty fipend they receive, 'very high and - worthy notions of their function and deftina'tion.' This confecration for ever ; a word,
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that from lips of flefh is big with a mighty nothing, has not purged the facred temple from all the impurities of fraud, violence, injuftice, and tyranny. Human paffions ftill lurk in her fanctum fanctorum; and, without the profane exertions of reafon, vain would be her ceremonial ablutions; morality would ftill ftand aloof from this national religion, this ideal confecration of a fate; and men would rather choofe to give the goods of their body, when on their death beds, to clear the narrow way to heaven, than reftrain the mad career of paffions during life.

Such a curious paragraph occurs in this part of your letter, that I am tempted to tranfcribe it ${ }^{\text {p }}$, and muft beg you to elucidate it, if I mifconceive your meaning.

The

P ' When the people have emptied themfelves of all the
'luft of felfigh will, which without religion it is utterly ' impoffible they ever fhould; when they are confcious that - they exercife, and exercife perhaps in an higher link of the

$$
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The only way in which the people interfere in government, religious or civil, is in electing reprefentatives. And, Sir, let me afk you, with manly plainners-are thefe baly nominations? Where is the booth of religion? Does the mix her awful mandates, or lift her, perfuafive voice, in thofe fcenes of drunken tiot and beaftly gluttony? Does the prefide over thofe nocturnal abominations which fo

- order of delegation, the power, which to be legitimate muft
* be according to that eternal immutable law, in which will ' and reafon are the fame, they will be more careful how cthey place power in bafe and incapable hands. In their ' nominationto office, they will not appoint to the exercife ' of authority as to a pitiful job, but as to an holy func' tion; not according to their fordid felfinh intereft, nor to ' their wanton caprice, nor to their arbitrary will; but cthey will confer that power (whick any man may well
- tremble to give or to reeeivejon thofe only, in whom they
'may difcern that predominant proportion of active virtue
' and wifdom, taken together and fitted to the charge,
- fuch, as in the great and inevitable mixed mafs of hu'man imperfections and infirmities, is to be found:' P. 140.
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evidently tend to deprave the manners of the lower clafs of people? The peftilence ftops not here-the rich and poor have one common nature, and many of the great families, which, on this fide adoration, you venerate, date their mifery, I feeak of fubborn matters of faet, from the thoughtlefs extravagance of an electioneering frolic.-Yet, after the effervefcence of fpirits, raifed by oppofition, and all the little and tyrannic arts of canvaffing are over-quiet fouls! they onfy intend to march rank and file to fay Yes-or no.

Experience, I believe, will fhew that fordid interef, or licentious thoughtleffinefs, is the fpring of action at moft elections.-Again, I beg you not to lofe fight of my modification of general rules. So far are the people from being habitually convinced of the fanctity of the charge they are conferring, that the venality of their votes muft admonifh them that they have no right to expeet difinterefted con-
duct. But to return to the church, and the habitual conviction of the people of England. :

So far are the'people from being ' habitually ' convinced that no evil can be acceptable, ei' ther in the act or the permiffion, to him whofe ' effence is goodq;' that the fermons which they hear are to them almoft as unintelligible as if they were preached in a foreign tongue. The language and fentiments rifing above their capacities, very orthodox Chriftians are driven to fanatical meetings for amufement, if not for edification. The clergy, I feeak of the body, not forgetting the refpect and affection which I have for individuals, perform the duty of their profeffion as a kind of fee-fimple, to entitle them to the emoluments accruing from it ; and their ignorant flock think that merely going to church is meritorious.

So defective, in fact, are our laws, refpecting religious eftablihments, that I have heard

[^6]many
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many rational pious clergymen complain, that they had no method of receiving their ftipend that did not clog their endeavours to be ufeful; whilf the lives of many lefs confcientious rectors are paffed in litigious difputes with the people they engaged to inftruct, or in difo tant cities, in all the eafe of luxurious idlenefs.

But you return to your old firm ground, -Art thou there, True-penny? Muft we fwear to fecure property, and make affurance doubly fure, to give your perturbed fpirit reft? Peace, peace to the manes of thy patriotic phirenfy, which contributed to deprive fome of thy fellow-citizens of their property in America: another fpirit now walks abroad to fecure the property of the church.- The tithes are fafe!-We will not fay for everbecaufe the time may come, when the traveller may afk where proud London ftood? when its temples, its laws, and its trade, may be buried in one common ruin, and only

G $_{4}$ ferve
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ferve as a by-word to point a moral, or furnifh fenators, whb wage a wordy war, on the other fide of the Atlantic, with tropes to fwell their thundering burfts of eloquence.

Who thall dare to accufe you of inconfit?ency any more, when you have fo ftaunchly fupported the defpotic principles which agree fo perfectly with the unerring intereft of a large body of your fellow-citizens; not the larget-for when you venerate parliaments-I prefume it is not the majority, as you have had the prefumption to diffent, and loudly explain your reafons.-But it was not my intention, when I began this letter, to defcend to the minutix of your conduct, or to weigh your infirmities in a balance; it is only fome of your pernicious opinions that I wifh to hunt out of their lurking holes; and to fhew you to yourfelf, ftripped of the gorgeous drapery in which you have enwrapped your tyrannic principles.

## ( 89 )

That the people of England refped the inational eftablifhment I do not deny; I recolleet the melancholy proof which they gave, in this very century, of their enligbtened zeal and reafonable affection' I likewife know that, ac: cording to the dietates of a prudent law; in a commercial ftate, truth is reckoned atibel; yet I acknowledge, having never made my humanity give place to Gothic gallantry, that I Should have been better pleafed to have heard that Lord George Gordon was confined on account of the calamities which he brought on his country, than for a libel on the queen of France.

But one argument which you adduce to ftrengthen your affertion, appears to carry the preponderancy towards the other fide.

You obferve that ' our education is foformed * as to confirm and fix this impreflion, (refpeat - for the religious eftablifhment); and that our
s education is in a manner wholly in the hands
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cof ecclefiaftics, and in all fages from in-- fancy to manhood?' Farl from agreeing with you, Sir, that thefe regulations render the clergy a more ufeful and refpectable body, experience convinces me that the very contrary is the fact. In fchools and colleges they may, in fome degree, fupport their dignity within the monaftic walls; but, in paying due refpect to the parents of the young nobility under their tutorage, they do not forget, obfequioully, to refpect their noble patrons. The little refpect paid, in great houfes, to tutors and chaplains proves, Sir, the fallacy of your reafoning. It would be almoft invidious to remark, that they fometimes are only modern fubftitutes for the jefters of Gothic memory, and ferve as whetfones for the blunt wit of the noble peer who patronizes them; and what relpect a boy can imbibe for a butt, at which the fhaft of ridicule is daily glanced, I leave

[^7]thofe to determine who can diftinguifh depravity of morals under the fpecious mafk of refined manners.
Befides, the cuftom of fending clergymen to travel with their noble pupils, as humble companions, inftead of exalting, tends inevitably to degrade the clerical character: it is notorious that they meanly fubmit to the moft fervile dependence, and glofs over the moft capricious, follies, to ufe a foft phrafe, of the boys to whom they look up for preferment. An airy mitre dances before them, and they wrap their fheep's clothing more clofely about them, and make their fpirits bend till it is prudent to claim the rights of men and the honeft freedom of fpeech of an Englifhman. How, indeed, could they venture to reprove for his vices their patron : the clergy only give the true feudal emphafis to this word. It has been obferved, by men who have not fuperficially inveftigated the human heart, that when
when a man makes his fpirit bend to any power but reafon, his character is foon degraded, and his mind fhackled by the very prejudies to which he fubmits with reluctance. The obfervations of experience have been carried fill futther; and the fervility to fuperiors, and tyranny to inferiors, faid to characterize our clergy, have rationally been fuppofed to arife naturally from their affociating with the nobility. Among unequals there can be no fociety ;-giving a manly meaning to the term; from fuch intimacies friendhhip can never grow; if the bafis of friendnhip is mutual refpect, and not a commercial treaty. Taken thus out of their fphere, and enjoying their tithes at a diffance from their flocks, is it not natural for them to become courtly parafites, and intriguing dependents on great patrons, or the treafury? Obferving all this-for thefe things have not been tranfacted in the dark-our young men of fafhion, by a common, though
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erroneous, affociation of ideas, have conceived a contempt for religion, as they fucked in with their milk a contempt for the clergy.

The people of England, Sir, in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, I will not go any further back to infult the afhes of departed popery, did not fettle the eftablifhment, and endow it with princely revenues, to make it proudly rear its head, as a part of the conititutional body, to guard the liberties of the community; but, like fome of the laborious commentators on Shakefpeare, you have affixed a meaning to laws that chance, or, to fpeak more philofophically, the interefted views of men, fettled, not dreaming of your ingenious elucidations.

What, but the rapacity of the only men who exercifed their reafon, the priefts, fecured fuch valt property to the church, whes a man gave his perifhable fubftance to fave himfelf from the dark torments of purgatory; and
found it more convenient to indulge his des praved appetites, and pay an exorbitant price for abfolution, than liften to the fuggeftions of reafon, and work out his own falvation : in a word, was not the feparation of religion from morality the work of the priefts, and partly achieved in thofe bonourable days which you fo pioully déplore?

That civilization, that the cultivation of the underfanding, and refinement of the affections, naturally make a man religious, I am proud to acknowledge. -What elfe can fill the aching void in the heart, that human pleafures, human friend/hips can never fill? What elfe can render us refigned to live, though condemned to ignorance? -What but a profound reverence for the model of all perfection, and the myfterious tie which arifes from a love of goodnefs? What can make us reverence ourfelves, but a reverence for that Reing, of whom we are a faint image? That
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mighty Spirit moves on the waters-confufion hears his voice, and the troubled heart ceafes to beat with anguifh, for truft in Him bade it be ftill. Confcious dignity may make us rife fuperior to calumny, and fternly brave the winds of adverfe fortune,-raifed in our own efteem by the very forms of which we are the fortbut when friends are unkind, and the heart has not the prop on which it fondly leaned, where can a tender fuffering being fly but to the Searcher of hearts? and, when death has defolated the prefent fene, and torn from us the friend of our youth-when we walk along the accuftomed path, and, almoft fancying nature dead, afk, Where art thou who gave life to thele well-known fcenes? when memory heightens former pleafures to contraft our prefent profpects-there is but one fource of comfort within our reach;-and in this fablime folitude the world appears to contain only the

- Creator

Creator and the creature, of whore happinefs he is the fource. $\rightarrow$ Thefe are human feelingss but I know not of any common, nature or come mon relation amonget men but what refults from reaton. The common affections and paffions equally bind brutes together; and it is only the continuity of thofe relations that entitles us to the denomination of rational creatures; and this continuity arifes from reflection -from the operations of that reafon which you contemn with flippant difrefpect.

If then it appears, arguing from analogy, that reflection muft be the natural foundation of rational affections, and of that experience which enables one man to rife above another, 2 phenomenon that has never been feen in the brute creation, it may not be fretching the argument further than it will go to fuppofe, that thofe men who are obliged to exercife their reafon have the moft reafon, and are the
the perfons pointed out by Nature to direct the fociety of which they make a part, on any extraordinary emergency.

Time only will thew whether the general cenfure, which you afterwards qualify, if not contradiet, and the unmerited contempt that you have oftentatioully difplayed of the Na tional Affembly, be founded on reafon, the offfpring of conviction, or the fpawn of envy. Time may thew, that this obfcure throng knew more of the human heart and of legillation than the profigates of rank, emafculated by hereditary effeminacy.

It is not, perhaps, of very great confequence who were the founders of a fate; favages, thieves, curates, or practitioners in the law. It is true, you might farcaftically remark, that the Romans had always a fmack of the old leaven, and that the private robbers, fuppofing the tradition to be true, only became
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public depredators. You might have added, that their civilization murt have been veff partial, and had more influence, on the manners than mofals of the peoples; or the amufements of the amphitheatre would not have remained an everlating blot not only on their humanity, but on their refinement, if a vicioús elegance of behaviour and loxurious mode of life is not a profitution of the term. However, the thundering cenfures which you have caft with a ponderous arm, and the more playful bufffiring of ridicule, are not arguments that will ever depreciate the National Affembly, for applying to their underfanding rather than to their imagination, when they met to fettle the newly acquired liberty of the fate on a folid foundation.

If you had given the fame advice to a young hiftory painter of abilities, I hould have admired your judgment, and re-echoed your fentiments.
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timents ${ }^{\text {s. }}$. Study, you might have faid, the noble models of antiquity, till your imagination is inflamed; and, rifing above the vulgar practice of the hour, you may imitate without copying thofe great originals. A glowing picture, of fome interefting moment, would probably have been produced by thefe natural means; particularly if one little circumfance is not overlooked, that the painter had noble models to revert to, calculated to excite admiration and fimulate exertion.
But, in fettling a conftitution that involved the happinefs of millions, that fretch beyond the computation of fcience, it was, perhaps,
a Page 51. 'If the laft generations of your country ap' peared without much luftre in your eyes, you might have ' paffed them by, and derived your claims from a more - early race of anceftors. Under a pious predilection to ' thofe anceftors, your imaginations would have realized - in them a ftandard of virtue and wifdom, beyond the vul'gar practice of the hour: and you would have rifen with ' the example to whofe imitation you afpired. Refpecting ' your forefathers, you would have been taught to refpect ' yourfelves.'

H2 neceffary
neceffary for the Affembly to have a higher model in view than the imagined virtues of their forefathers; and wife to deduce their refpect for themfelves from the only legitimate fource, refpect-for juftice. Why was it a duty to repair an ancient cafte, built in barbarous ages, of Gothic matefials? Why were the legiflators obliged to rake amongt heterogeneous ruins; to rebuild old walls, whofe foundations could fcarcely be explored, when a fimple ftructure might be raifed on the foundation of experience, the only valuable inheritance our forefathers could bequeath? Yet of this bequeft we can make little ufe till we have gained a fock of our own; and even then, their inherited experience would rather ferve as lighthoufes, to warn us againft dangerous rocks or fand-banks, than as finger-pofts that ftand at every turning to point out the right road.

Nor was it abfolutely neceffary that they fhould be diffident of themfelves when they
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were diffatisfied with, or could not difcern the salmof obliterated conftitution of their anceftors t. They fhould firf have been convinced that our conflitution was not only the beft modern, but the bef poffible one; and that our focial compact was the fureft foundation of all the polfible liberty a mafs of men could enjoy, that the human underftanding could form. They fhould have been certain that our reprefentation anfiwered all the purpofes of reprefentation; and that an effablifhed inequality of rank and property fecured the liberty of the whole community, inftead of rendering it a founding epithet of fubjection, when applied to the nation at large. They

- Page 53. 'If diffident of yourfelves, and not clearly - difcerning the almoft obliterated conftitution of your an© ceftors, you had looked to your neighbours' in this land, ' who had kept alive the ancient principles and models of ' the old common law of Europe meliorated and adapted 6 to its prefent ftate-by following wife examples you would 'have given new examples of wifdom to the world.'
thould have had the fame refpect for our Houfe of Commons that you, vauntingly, intrude on us, though your conduet throughout life has fpoken a very different language; before they made a point of not deviating from the model which firf engaged their attention.

That the Britifh Houfe of Commons is filled with every thing illuftrious in rank, in defcent, in hereditary, and acquired opulence, may be true,-but that it contains every thing refpectable in talents, in military, civil, naval, and political diftinction, is very problematical. Arguing from natural caufes, the very contrary would appear to the fpeculatift to be the fact; and let experience fay whether thefe fpeculations are built on fure ground.

It is true you lay great Atrefs on the effects produced by the bare idea of a liberal defcent ${ }^{\text {; }}$;
but

- Page 49. 'Always acting as if in the prefence of scanonized forefathers, the fpirit of freedom, leading in
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but from the conduct of men of rank, men of difernment would rather be led to conclude, that this idea obliterated inftead of infpiring native dignity, and fubflituted a factitious pride that difemboweled the man. The liberty of the sich has its enfigns armorial to puff the individual out with infubftantial honours; but where are blazoned the ftraggles of virtuous poverty? Who, indeed, would dare to blazon what would blur the pompous monumental infcription you boaft of, and make us view with horror, as monfters in human fhape, the fuperb gallery of portraits proudly fet in battle array?

But to examine the fubject more clofely. Is it among the lift of poffibilities that a man

- itfelf to mifrule and excefs, is tempered with an awful - gravity. This idea of a liberal defcent infpires us with -a fenfe of habitual native dignity, which prevents that - upftart infolence almoft inevitably adhering to and dif-- gracing thofe who are the firft acquirers of any diftinc'tion!?

[^8]of rank and fortune can have received a good education? How can he difcover that he is a man, when all his wants are inftantly fupplied, and invention is never harpened by neceffity ? Will he labour, for every thing valuable muft be the fruit of laborious exertions, to attain knowledge and virtue, in order to merit the affection of his equals, when the flattering attention of fycophants is a more lufcious cordial ?

Health can only be fecured by temperance; but is it eafy to perfuade a man to live on plain food even to recover his health, who has been accuftomed to fare fumptuoully every day ? Can a man relifh the fimple food of friendhip, who has been habitually pampered by flattery? And when the blood boils, and the fenfes meet allurements on every fide, will knowledge be purfued on account of its abfract beauty? No; it is well known that talents are only to be unfolded by induftry, and
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that we muif have made fome advances, led by an inferior motive, before we difcover that they are their own reward.
But full blown talents may, according to your fyftem, be hereditary, and as independent of ripening judgment, as the inbred feelings that, rifing above reafon, naturally guard Englifhmen from error. Noble franchifes! what a grovelling mind muft that man liave, who can pardon his ftep-dame Nature for not having made him at leaft a lord?
And who will, after your defcription of fenatorial virtues, dare to fay that our Houfe of Commons has often refembled a bear-garden ; and appeared rather like a committee of rways and means than a dignified legillative body, though the concentrated wifdom and virtue of the whole nation blazed in one fuperb conftellation? That it contains a dead weight of benumbing opulence I readily allow, and of ignoble ambition ; nor is there any thing fur-.
paffing belief in a fuppofition that the raw re. cruits, when properly drilled by the minitter, would gladly march to the Upper Houfe to unite hereditary honours to fortune. But talents, knowledge, and virtue, muft be a part of the man, and cannot be put, as robes of flate often are, on a fervant or a block, to tender a pageant more magnificent.

Oar Houfe of Commons, it is true, has been celebrated as a fehool of eloquence, a hot-bed for wit, even when party intrigues narrow the underfanding and contract the heart; yet, from the few proficients it has accomplifhed, this inferior praife is not of great magnitude: nor of great confequence, Mr. Locke would have added, who was ever of opinion that eloquence was oftener employed to make ' the worfe appear the better 'part,' than to fupport the diftates of cool judgment. However, the greater number who bave gained a feat by their fortune and here-
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ditary rank, are content with their pre-eminence, and fruggle not for more hazardous honours. But gou are an exception; you have raifed yourfelf by the exertion of abilities, and thrown the automatons of rank into the back ground. Your exertions have beea a generous conteft for fecondary honours, or a grateful tribute of refpect due to the noble athes that lent a hand to raife you into notice, by introducing you into the houfe of which you have ever been an ornament, if not a fupport. But, unfortunately, you have hately loft a great part of your popularity : members were tired of liftening to declamation, or had not fufficient tafte to be amufed when you ingeniounly wandered from the queftion, and faid certainly many good things, if they were not to the prefent purpofe. You were the Cicero of one fide of the houre for years; and then to fink into oblivigh, to fee your blooming honours fade before you, was enough to roufe
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all that was human in you -and make you produce the impaffioned Reffections which have been a glorious revivification of your fame. Tf Richard is himfelf again! He is ftill a: great man, though he has deferted his poft, and buried in elogiums, on church eftablifhments, the enthufiafm that forced him to throw the weight of his talents on the fide of liberty and natural rights, when the will $x$ of the nation oppreffed the Americans.

- There appears to be fuch a mixture of real fenfibility and fondly cherifhed romance in your compofition, that the prefent crifis carries you out of yourfelf; and fince you could not be one of the grand movers, the next befl thing that dazzled your imagination was to be a confpicuous oppofer. Full of yourfelf, you make as much noife to convince the world

[^9]
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that you defpife the revolution, as, Rouffeau: did to perfuade his contemporaries to let himy Hive in obfeurity.

Reading your Reflections warily over, it has. continually and forcibly ftruck me, that had you been a Prenchman, you would have been, in fpite of your refpect for rank and antiquity, a violent revolutionift; and deceived, as you now probably are, by the paffions that cloud your reafon, have termed your romantic enthufiafm an enlightened love of your country, a benevolent refpect for the rights of men. Your imagination would have taken fire, and have found arguments, full as ingenious as thofe you now offer, to prove that the conflitution, of which fo few pillars remained, that confitution which time had almof obliterated, was not a model fufficiently noble to deferve clofe adherence. And, for the Englifh conftitution, you might not have hád fuch a profound veneration as you have lately acquired; pay, it
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is not impoffible that you might have entertained the fame opinion of the Englif Parliament, that you profeffed to have during the American war.

Another obfervation which, by frequently occurring, has almoft grown into a convietion, is fimplythis, that had the Englif ingeneral reprobated the French revolution, you would have flood forth alone, and been the avowed Goliah of liberty. But, not liking to fee fo many brothers near the throne of fame, you have turned the current of your paffions, and confequently of your reafoning, another way. Had Dr. Price's fermon not lighted fome fparks very like envy in your bofom, I hrewdly fufpect that he would have been treated with more candour; nor is it charitable to fuppofe that any thing but perfonal pique and hurt vanity could have dictated fuch bitter farcafms and reiterated expreffions of contempt as occur in your Reflections.

But without fixed principles even goodnefs of heart is no fecurity from inconfiftency, and mild affectionate fenfibility only renders a man more ingenioufly cruel, when the pangs of hurt vanity are miffaken for virtuous indignation, and the gall of bitternefs for the milk of Chriftian charity.

Where is the dignity, the infallibility of fenfibility, in the fair ladies, whom, if the voice of rumour is to be credited, the captive negroes curfe in all the agony of bodily pain, for the unheard of tortures they invent? It is probable that fome of them, after the fight of a flagellation, compofe their ruffled firits and exercife their tender feelings by the perufal of the laft imported novel.-How true thefe tears are to nature, I leave you to determine. But thefe ladies may have read your Enquiry concerning the origin of our ideas of the Sublime and Beautiful, and, convinced by your arguments,
may have laboured to be pretty, by counterfeiting weaknels.

You may have convinced them that little$n e / s$ and weakne/s are the very effence of beauty; and that the Supreme Being, in giving women beauty in the moft fupereminent degree, feemed to command them, by the powerful voice of Nature, not to cultivate the moral virtues that might chance to excite refpect, and interfere with the pleafing fenfations they were created to infpire. Thus confining truth, fortitude, and humanity, within the rigid pale of manly morals, they might juftly argue, that to be loved, woman's high end and great diftinction! they fhould • learn to - lifp, to totter in their walk, and nick-name - God's creatures.' Never, they might repeat after you, was any man, much lefs a woman, rendered amiable by the force of thofe exalted qualities, fortitude, juftice, wifdom,
and truth; and thus forewarned of the facrifice they muft make to thofe auftere, unnatural virtues, they would be authorized to turn all their attention to their perfons, fyftematically neglecting morals to fecure beauty.Some rational old woman indeed might chance to fumble at this doctrine, and hint, that in avoiding atheifm you had not feered clear of the muffulman's creed; but you could readily exculpate yourfelf by turning the charge on Nature, who made our idea of beauty independent of reafon. Nor would it be necefflary for you to recollect, that if virtue has any other foundation than worldly utility, you have clearly proved that one half of the human fpecies, at leaft, have not fouls; and that Na ture, by making women little, fmootb, delicate, fair creatures, never defigned that they thould exercife their reafon to acquire the virtues that produce oppofite, if not contradictory, feel-
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ings. The affection they excite, to be uniform and perfect, fhould not be tinctured with the refpect which moral virtues infpire, left pain fhould be blended with pleafure, and admiration difturb the foft intimacy of love. This laxity of morals in the female world is certainly more captivating to a libertine imagination than the cold arguments of reafon, that give no fex to virtue. If beautiful weaknefs be interwoven in a woman's frame, if the chief bufinefs of her life be (as you infinuate) to infpire love, and Nature has made an eternal diftinction between the quaities that dignify a rational being and this animal perfection, her duty and happinefs in this life muft clafh with any preparation for a more exalted ftate. So that Plato and Milton were grofsly miftaken in afferting that human love led to heavenly, and was only an exaltation of the fame affection; for the love of the Deity,
which is mized with the moft profound reverence, muft be love of perfection, and not compaffion for weaknefs.

To fay the truth, I not only tremble for the fouls of women, but for the good natured man, whom every one loves. The amiable weaknefs of his mind is a Atrong argument againft its immateriality, and feems to prove that beauty relaxes the folids of the fool as well as the body.

It follows then immediately, from your own reafoning, that refpect and love are aritagonift principles; and that, if we really wih to render men more virtuous, we muft endeavour to banih all enervating modifications of beauty from civil fociety. We muft, to carry your argument a little further, return to the Spartan regulations, and fettle the virtues of men on the ftern foundation of morfification and felf-denial; for any attempt to civilize the heart, to make it humane by im-

12 planting
planting reafonable principles, is a mere philofophic dream. If refinement inevitably leffens refpect for virtue, by rendering beauty, the grand tempter, more feductive; if thefe relaxing feelings are incompatible with the nervous exertions of morality, the fun of Europe is not fet ; it begins to dawn, when cold metaphyficians try to make the head give laws to the heart.

But fhould experience prove that there is a beauty in virtue, a charm in order, which neceffarily implies exertion, a depraved fenfual tafte may give way to a more manly one -and melting feelings to rational fatisfactions. Both may be equally natural to man ; the teit is their moral difference, and that point reafon alone can decide.

Such a glorious change can only be produced by liberty. Inequality of rank muft ever impede the growth of virtue, by vitiating the mind that fubmits or domineers; that
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is ever employed to procure nourifhment for the body, or amufement for the mind. And if this grand example be fet by an affembly of unlettered clowns, if they can produce a crifis that may involve the fate of Europe, and ' more than Europey;' you muft allow us to refpect unfophifticated reafon, and reverence the active exertions that were not relaxed by a faftidious refpect for the beauty of rank, or a dread of the deformity produced by any void in the focial ftructure.

After your contemptuous manner of fpeaking of the National Affembly, after defcanting on the coarfe vulgarity of their proceedings, which, according to your own definition of virtue, is a proof of its genuinenefs; was it not a little inconfiftent, not to fay ab-

[^10]furd, to affert, that a dozen people of quality were not a fufficient counterpoife to the vulgar mob with whom they condefcended to affociate? Have we half a dozen leaders of eminence in our Houfe of Commons, or even in the fafhionable world? yet the fheep obfequioully purfue their fteps with all the undeviating fagacity of inftinct.

In order that liberty fhould have a firm foundation, an acquaintance with the world would naturally lead cool men to conclude that it muft be laid, knowing the weaknefs of the human heart, and the 'deceitfulnefs of ' riches,' either by poor men, or philofophers, if a fufficient number of men, difinterefted from principle, or truly wife, could be found. Was it natural to expect that fenfual prejudices thould give way to reafon, or prefent feelings to enlarged views?-No; I am afraid that human nature is fill in fuch a weak fate, that the abolition of titles, the corner-ftone of
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defpotifm, could only have been the work of men who had no titles to facrifice: The Nafional Affembly, it is true, contains fome honourable exceptions ; but the majority had not fuch powerful feelings to fruggle with, when reafon led them to refpect the naked dignity of virtue.

Weak minds are always timid. And what can equal the weaknefs of mind produced by fervile flattery, and the vapid pleafures that neither hope nor fear feafoned? Had the conftitution of France been new modelled, or more cautioully repaired, by the lovers of elegance and beauty, it is natural to fuppofe that the imagination would have erected a fragile temporary building ; or the power of one tyrant, divided amongft a handred, might have rendered the fruggle for liberty only a choice of mafters. And the glorious chance that is now given to human nature of attaining more virtue and happinefs than has hitherto bleffed
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our globe, might have been facrificed to a meteor of the imagination, a bubble of paffion: The ecclefiaftics, indeed, would probably have remained in quiet poffeffion of their finecures; and your gall might not have been mixed with your ink on account of the daring facrilege that brought them more on a level. The nobles would have had bowels for their younger fons, if not for the mifery of their fellow-creatures. An auguft mafs of property would have been tranfmitted to pofterity fo guard the temple of fuperfition, and prevent reafon from entering with her officious light. And the pomp of religion would have continued to imprefs the fenfes, if the were unable to fubjugate the paffions.

Is hereditary weaknefs necefflary to render religion lovely? and will her form have loft the fmooth delicacy that infpires love, when ftripped of its Gothic drapery? Muft every grand model be placed on the pedeftal of pro-
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perty? and is there no beauteous proportion in virtue, when not clothed in a fenfual garb?

Of thefe queftions there would be no end, though they lead to the fame conclufion; that your politics and morals, when fimplified, would undermine religion and virtue to fet up a fpurious, fenfual beauty, that has long debauched your imagination, under the fpecious form of natural feelings.
And what is this mighty revolution in property? The prefent incumbents only are injured, or the hierarchy of the clergy, an ideal part of the conflitution, which you have perfonified, to render your affection more tender. How has pofterity been injured by a diffribution of the property fnatched, perhaps, from innocent hands, but accumulated by the moft abominable violation of every fentiment of juftice and piety? Was the monument of former ignorance and iniquity to be held facred, to enable the prefent poffeffors
feffors of enormous benefices to difalve in indolent pleafures? Was not their conveni. ence, for they have not been turned adrift on the world, to give place to a juft partition of the land belonging to the flate? And did not the refpect due to the natural equality of man require this triumph over Monkih rapacity? Were thofe mohfters to be reverenced on ac: count of their antiquity, and their unjuft claims perpetuated to their ideal children, the clergy, merely to preferve the facred majefty of Property inviolate, and to enable the Church to retain her priftine fplendor? Can pofterity be injured by individuals lofing the chance of obtaining great wealth, without meriting it, by its being diverted from a narrow channel, and difembogued into the fea that affords clouds to water all the land? Befides, the clergy not brought up with the expectation of great revenues will not feel the lofs; and if bihops fhould happen to be chofen on ac--
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count of their perfonal merit, religion may be benefited by the vulgar nomination.

The fophiftry of afferting that Nature leads us to reverence our civil inftitutions from the fame principle that we venerate aged individuals, is a palpable fallacy 'that is fo like truth, ' it will ferve the turn as well.' And when you add, 'that we have chofen our nature ' rather than our fpeculations, our breafts ra' ther than our inventions ${ }^{z}$,' the pretty jargon feems equally unintelligible.
But it was the downfall of the vifible power and dignity of the church that roufed your ire;
${ }^{2}$ Page 50. - We procure reverence to our civil infti-- tutions on the principle upon which nature teaches us to ' revere individual men; on account of their age; and on ' account of thofe from whom they are defcended. All your - fophifters cannot produce any thing better adapted to pre-- ferve a rational and manly freedom than the courfe that ' we have purfued; who have chofen our nature rather than ' our fpeculations, our breafts rather than our inventions, - for the great confervatories and magazines of our rights © and privileges.
you could have excufed a little fqueezing of the individuals to fupply prefent exigencies; the actual poffeffors of the property might bave been oppreffed with fomething like impunity, if the church had not been fpoiled of its gaudy trappings. You love the church, your country, and its liws, you repeatedly tell us, becaufe they deferve to be loved; but from you this is not a panegyric: weaknefs and indulgence are the only incitements to love and confidence that you can difern, and it cannot be denied that the tender mother you venerate deferves, on this fcore, all your affection.

It would be as vain a tafk to attempt to obviate all your paffionate objections, as to unravel all your plaufible arguments, often illuftrated by known truths, and rendered forcible by pointed invectives. I only attack the foundation. On the natural principles of juftice I build my plea for diffeminating the property artfully faid to be appropriated to religious purpofes, but, in reality,
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ality, to fupport idle tyrants, amongft the fociety whofe anceftors were cheated or forced into illegal grants. Can there be an opinion more fubverfive of morality, than that time fanctifies crimes, and filences the blood that calls out for retribution, if not for vengeance? If the revenue annexed to the Gallic church was greater than the moft bigoted proteftant would now allow to be its reafonable fhare, would it not have been trampling on the rights of men to perpetuate fuch an arbitrary appropriation of the common ftock, becaufe time had rendered the fraudulent feizure venerable? Befides, if Reafon had fuggefted, as furely the muft, if the imagination had not been allowed to dwell on the fafcinating poinp of ceremonial grandeur, that the clergy would be rendered both more virtuous and ufeful by being put more on a par with each other, and the mafs of the people it was their duty to inflruet;-where was there room for hefitation? The charge of pre-
fumption, thrown by you on the moft reafonable innovations, may, without any violence to truth, be retorted on every reformation that has meliorated our condition, and even on the improvable faculty that gives us a claim to the pre-eminence of intelligent beings.

Plaufibility, $I$ know, can only be unmafked by fhewing the abfurdities it gloffes over, and the fimple truths it involves with fpecious errors. Eloquence has often confounded triumphant villany; but it is probable that it has more frequently rendered the boundary that feparates virtue and vice doubiful.Poifons may be only medicines in judicious hands; but they fhould not be adminiftered by the ignorant, becaufe they have fometimes feen great cures performed by their powerful aid.

The many fenfible remarks and pointed obfervations which you have mixed with opinions that ftrike at our deareft interefts, for-
tify thofe opinions, and give them a degree of firength that render them formidable to the wife, and convincing to the fuperficial. It is impoffible to read half a dozen pages of your book without admiring your ingenuity, or indignantly fpurning your fophifms. Word́s are heaped on words, till the underftanding is confured by endeavouring to difentangle the fenfe, and the memory by tracing contradictions. After obferving a hoft of thefe contradictions, it can fcarcely be a breach of charity to think that you have often facrificed your fincerity to enforce your favourite arguments, and called in your judgment to adjuft the arrangement of words that could not convey its dictates.

A fallacy of this kind, I think, could not have efcaped you when you were treating the fubject that called forth your bittereft animadverfions, the confifcation of the ecclefiaftical revenue. Who of the vindicators of the rights
of men ever ventured to affert, that the clergy of the prefent day fhould be punihed on account of the intolerable pride and inhuman cruelty of many of their predeceffors ${ }^{2}$ ? No; fuch a thought never entered the mind of thofe who warred with inveterate prejudices. A defperate difeafe required a powerful remedy. Injuftice had no right to reft on prefeription; nor has the character of the prefent clergy any weight in the argument.

You find it very difficult to feparate policy from juftice : in the political world they have frequently been feparated with hameful dexterity. To mention a recent inftance. According to the limited views of timid, or interefted politicians, an abolition of the infernal flave trade would not only be unfound policy, but a flagrant infringement of the laws (which are allowed to have been infamous) that induced the planters to purchafe their eflates,

\author{

- Vide Page 210.
}

But is it not confonant with juftice, with the common principles of humanity, not to mention Chriftianity, to abolifh this abominable mifchief? ${ }^{\text {b }}$ There is not one argument, one invective, levelled by you at the confifcators of the church revenue, which could not, with the fricteft propriety, be arplied by the planters and negro-drivers to our Parliament, if it glorioufly dared to thew the world that Britifh fenators were men: if the natural feelings of humanity filenced the cold cautions of timidity, till this ftigma on our nature was wiped off, and all men were al-

[^11]
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lowed to enjoy their birth-right-liberty, till by their crimes they had authorized fociety to deprive them of the bleffing they had abufed.

The fame arguments might be ufed in India, if any attempt were made to bring back things to nature, to prove that a man ought never to quit the caft that confined him to the profeffion of his lineal forefathers. The Bramins would doubtlefs find many ingenious reafons to juftify this debafing, though venerable prejudice; and would not, it is to be fuppofed, forget to obferve that time, by interweaving the oppreffive law with many ufeful cuttoms, had rendered it for the prefent very convenient, and confequently legal. Almoft every vice that has degraded our nature might be juftified by fhewing that it had been productive of fome benefit to fociety: for it would be as difficult to point out pofitive evil as unallayed good, in this imperfect fate. What indeed would become of morals,
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morals, if they had no other teft than prefcription? The manners of men may change without end; but, wherever reafon receives the leaft cultivation-wherever men rife above brutes, morality muft reft on the fame bafe. And the more man difcovers of the nature of his mind and body, the more clearly he is convinced, that to act according to the dietates of reaion is to conform to the law of God.
The teft of honour may be arbitrary and fallacious, and, retiring into fubterfuge, elude clofe enquiry; but true morality fhuns not the day, nor flrinks from the ordeal of inveftigation. Moft of the happy revolutions that have taken place in the world have happened when weak princes held the reins they could not manage; but are they, on that account, to be canonized as faints or demi-gods, and pufhed forward to notice on the throne of ignorance? Pleafure wants a zeft, if experience cannot compare it with pain; but who courts pain to

K 2 heighten
heighten his pleafures? A tranfient view of fociety will further illuftrate arguments which appear fo obvious that I am almoft afhamed to produce illuftrations. How many children have been tau ght œconomy, and many other virtues, by the extravagant thoughtleffnefs of their parents; yet a good education is allowed to be an ineftimable bleffing. The tendereft mothers are often the moft unhappy wives; but can the good that accrues from the private diftrefs that produces a fober dignity of mind juftify the inflictor? Right or wrong may be eftimated according to the point of fight, and other adventitious circumftances; but, to difcover its real nature, the enquiry muft go deeper than the furface, and beyond the local confequences that confound good and evil together. The rich and weak, a numerous train, will certainly applaud your fyftem, and loudly celebrate your pious reverence for authority and eftablifhments-they find it pleafanter
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fanter to enjoy than to think; to juftify oppreffion than correct abufes.-The rigbts of men are grating founds that fet their teeth on' edge; the impertinent enquiry of philofophic meddling innovation. If the poor are in diftrefs, they will make fome benevolent exertions to affift them ; they will confer obligations, but not do, juftice. Benevolence is a very amiable fecious quality; yet the averfion which men feel to aecept a right as a favour, fhould rather be extolled as a veftige of native dignity, than ftigmatized as the odious offfpring of ingratitude. The poor confider the rich as their lawful prey; but we ought not too feverely to animadvert on their ingratitude. When they receive an alms they are commonly grateful at the moment; but old habits quickly return, and cunning has ever been a fubflitute for force.
That both phyfical and moral evil were not onl, forefeen, but entered into the fcheme of

$$
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Providence, when this world was contemplated in the Divine mind, who can doubt, without robbing Omnipotence of a moft exalted attribute? But the bufinels of the life of a good man Ihould be, to feparate light from darknefs; to diffufe happinefs, whilf he fabmits to unavoidable mifery. And a conviction that there is much unavoidable wretchednefs, appointed by the grand Difpofer of all! events, fhould not flacken his exertions: the extent of what is poffible can only be difcerned by God. The juftice of God may be vindicated by a belief in a future ftate; but, only by believing that evil is educing good for the int dividual, and not for an imaginary whole. The happinefs of the whole muft arife from the happinefs of the conftituent parts, or the effence of juftice is facrificed to a fuppofed grand arpangement. And that may be good for the whole of a creature's exiftence, that difturbs, the comfort of a fmall portion. The evil which
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an individual fuffers for the good of the community is partial, it muft be allowed, if the account is fettled by death.-But the partial evil which it fuffers, during one flage of exiftence, to render another itage more perfect, is Atricty juft. The Father of all only can regu-- late the education of his children. To fuppofe that, during the whole or part of its exiftence, the happinefs of any individual is facrificed to promote the welfare of ten, or ten thoufand, other beings-is impious. But to fuppofe that the happinefs, or animal enjoyment, of one portion of exiftence is facrificed to improve and ennable the being itfelf, and render it capable of more perfect happinefs, is not to reflect on either the goodnefs or wifdom of God.

It may be confidently afferted that no man choofes evil, becaufe it is evil; he only miftakes it for happinefs, the good he feeks. And the defire of rectifying thefe miftakes, is the
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noble ambition of an enlightened underftanding, the impulfe of feelings that Philofophy invigorates. To endeavour to make unhappy men refigned to their fate, is the tender endeavour of fhort-fighted benevolence, of tranfient yearnings of humanity; but to labour to increafe human happinefs by extirpating error, is a mafculine godike affection. This remark may be carried fill further. Men who poffers uncommon fenfibility, whofe quick emotions fhew how clofely the eye and heart are connected, foon forget the moft forcible fenfations. Not tarrying long enough in the brain to be fubject to reflection, the next fenfations, of courfe, obliterate them. Memory, however, treafures up thefe proofs of native goodnefs; and the being who is not fpurred on to any virtuous act, ftill thinks itfelf of confequence, and boarts of its feelings. Why? Becaufe the fight of diftrefs, or an affecting narrative, made its blood flow with more velocity, and the heart,
heart, literally fpeaking, beat with fympathetic emotion. We ought to beware of confounding mechanical inftinctive fenfations with emotions that reafon deepens, and jufly terms the feelings of bumanity. This word difcriminates the active exertions of virtue from the vague déclamation of fenfibility.

The declaration of the National Affembly, when they recognized the rights of men, was calculated to touch the humane heart-the downfall of the clergy, to agitate the pupil of impulfe. On the watch to find fault, faults met your prying eye; a different prepoffeffion might have produced a different conviction.

When we read a book that fupports our favourite opinions, how eagerly do we fuck in the doctrines, and fuffer our minds placidly to reflect the images that illuftrate the tenets we have previoufly embraced. We indolently acquiefce in the conclufion, and our spirit animates and corrects the various fubjects. But when,
when, on the contrary, we perufe ankilfyl writer, with whom we do not coincide in opinion, how attentive is the mind to detect fallacy. And this fufpicious coolnefs often prevents our being carried away by a fream of natural eloquence, which the prejudiced mind terms declamation-a pomp of words! We never allow ourfelves to be warmed; and, after contending with the writer, are more confirmed in our opinion; as much, perhaps, from a fpirit of contradiction as from reafon. A lively imagination is ever in danger of being betrayed into error by favourite opinions, which it almoft perfonifies, the more effectually to intoxicate the underftanding. Always tending to extremes, truth is left behind in the heat of the chace, and things are viewed as pofitively good, or bad, though they wear an equivecal face.

Some celebrated writers have fuppofed that wit and judgment were incompatible; oppofite qualities,
(139)
qualities, that, in a kind of elementary ftrife, deftroyed each other; and many men of wit have endeavoured to prove that they were miiftaken. Much may be adduced by wits and metaphyficians on both fides of the queftion. But, from experience, I am apt to believe that they do weaken each other, and that great quicknefs of comprehenfion, and facile affociation of ideas, naturally preclude profundity of refearch. Wit is often a lucky hit; the refult of a momentary infpiration. We know not whence it comes, and it blows where it lifts, The operations of judgment, on the contrary, are cool and circumfpect; and coolnefs and deliberation are great enemies to enthufiafm. If wit is of fo fine a firit, that it almoft evaporates when tranflated into another language, why may not the temperature have an influence over it? This remark may be thought derogatory to the inferior qualities of the mind: but it is not a hafty one; and I mention it as a prelude

2 prelude to a conclufion I have frequently drawn, that the cultivation of reafon damps fancy. The bleffings of Heaven lie on each fide; we muft choofe; if we wifh to attain any degree of fuperiority, and not lofe our lives in laborious idlenefs. If we mean to build our knowledge or happinefs on a rational bafis, we muft learn to diftinguifh the pofible, and not fight againft the ftream. And if we are careful to guard ourfelves from imaginary forrows and vain fears, we muft alfo refign many enchanting illufions: for fhallow muft be the difcernment which fails to difcover that raptures and ecftafies arife from error.-Whether it will always be fo, is not now to be difcuffed; fuffice it to obferve, that Truth is feldom arrayed by the Graces; and if the charms, it is only by infpiring a fober fatisfaction, which takes its rife from a calm contemplation of proportion and fimplicity. But, though it is allowed that one man has by nature more fancy
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fancy than another, in each individual there is a fpring-tide when fancy fhould govern and amalgamate materials for the underftanding; and a graver period, when thofe materials fhould be employed by the judgment. For example, I am inclined to have a better opinion of the heart of an old man, who fpeaks of Sterne as his favourite author, than of his underftanding. There are times and feafons for all things: and moralifs appear to me to err, when they would confound the gaiety of youth with the ferioufnefs of age; for the virtues of age look not only more impofing, but more natural, when they appear rather rigid. He who has not exercifed his judgment to curb his imagination during the meridian of life, becomes, in its decline, too often the prey of childih feelings. Age demands refpect; youth love : if this order is difturbed, the emotions are not pure; and when love for a man in his grand climatteric takes place

## ( ${ }_{42}$ )

of refpect, it, generally fpeaking, borders on contempt. Judgment is fublime, wit beautiful; and, according to your own theory, they cannot exift together without impairing each other's power. The predominancy of the latter, in your endlefs Reflections, fhould lead hafty readers to fufpect that it may, in a great degree, exclude the former.

But, among all your plaufible arguments, and witty illuftrations, your contempt for the poor always appears confpicuous, and roufes my indignation. The following paragraph in particular ftruck me, as breathing the moft tyrannic firit, and difplaying the moft factitious feelings. - Good order is the - foundation of all good things. To be 'enabled to acquire, the people, without - being fervile, muft be tractable and obe-- dient. The magiffrate muft have his re? verence, the laws their authority. The
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- body of the people muft not find the prin-
- ciples of natural fubordination by art rooted ' out of their minds. They muft refpect that - property of which they cannot partake. They ' muft labour to obtain what by labour can be - obtained; and wben they find, as they commonly - do, the fuccefs difproportioned to the endeavour, - they muft be taugbt their confolation in the final 'proportions of eternal jufice. Of this confo-- lation, whoever deprives them, deadens their - induftry, and ftrikes at the root of all aequi-
- fition as of all confervation. He that does
- this, is the cruel oppreffor, the mercilefs ' enemy, of the poor and wretched; at the
- fame time that, by his wicked fecculations,
- he expofes the fruits of fucceffful induftry,
' and the accumulations of fortune, (ah! there's the rub) ' to the plunder of the negligent, the -difappointed, and the unprofperous ${ }^{\circ}$ :
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This is contemptible hard-hearted fophiftry, in the feecious form of humility, and fubmiffion to the will of Heaven.-It is, Sir, poffible to render the poor happier in this world, without depriving ${ }^{\text {th }}$ hem of the confolation which you gratuitoully grant them in the next. They have a right to more comfort than they at prefent enjoy; and more comfort might be afforded them, without encroaching on the pleafures of the rich: not now waiting to enquire whether the rich have any right to exclufive pleafures. What do I fay ?-encroaching! No; if an intercourfe were eftablifhed between them, it would impart the only true pleafure that can be fnatched in this land of fhadows, this hard fchool of moral difcipline.

I know, indeed, that there is often fomething difgufting in the diftreffes of poverty, at which the imagination revolts, and ftarts back to exercife itfelf in the more
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attractive Arcadia of fietion. The rich man builds a houfe, art and tafte give it the higheft finifh. His gardens are planted, and the trees grow to recreate the fancy of the planter, though the temperature of the climate may rather force him to avoid the dangerous damps they exhale, than feek the umbrageous retreat. Every thing on the eftate is cherifhed but man;-yet, to contribute to the happinefs of man, is the moft fublime of all enjoyments. But if, inftead of fweeping pleafure-grounds, obelifks, temples, and elegant cottages, as objects for the eye, the heart was allowed to beat true to nature, decent farms would be fcattered over the effate, and plenty fmile around. Inftead of the poor being fubject to the griping hand of an avaricious feward, they would be watched over with fatherly folicitude, by the man whofe duty and pleafure it was to guard their happinefs, and fhield from rapa-
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city the beings who, by the fweat of theif brow, exalted him above his fellows.

I could almof imagine I fee a man thus gathering bleffings as he mounted the bill of life; or eonfolation; in thofe days when the fpirits lag, and the tired heart finds no pleafure in them. It is not by fquandering alms that the poor can be relieved, or improvedit is the foftering fun of kindnefs, the wifdom that finds them employments calculated to give them habits of virtue, that meliorates their condition. Love is only the fruit of love; condefcenfion and authority may produce the obedience you applaud; but he has lof his heart of fleh who can fee a fellow-creature humbled before him, and trembling at the frown of a being, whofe heart is fupplied by the fame vital current; and whofe pride ought to be checked by a confcioufnefs of having the fame infirmities.
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What falutary dews might not be thed to refrefh this thirfty land, if men were more enligbtened! Smiles and premiums might encourage cleanlinefs, induftry, and emulation. -A garden more inviting than Eden would then meet the eye, and fprings of joy murmur on every fide. The clergyman would fuperintend his own flock, the Ghepherd would then love the fheep he daily tended; the fchool might rear its decent head, and the buzzing tribe, let loofe to play, impart a portion of their vivacious firits to the heart that longed to open their minds, and lead them to tafte the pleafures of men. Domeftic comfort, the civilizing relations of hufband, brother, and father, would foften labour, and render life contented.

Returning once from a defpotic country to a part of England well cultivated, but not very picturefque-with what delight did I not obferve the poor man's garden!-The homely
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palings and twining woodbine, with all the ruftic contrivances of fimple, unlettered tafte, was a fight which refieved the eye that had wandered indignant from the ftately palace to the peftiferous hovel, and turned from the awful contraft into itfelf to mourn the fate of man, and curfe the arts of civilization!

Why cannot large eftates be divided into fmall farms? thefe dwellings would indeed grace our land. Why are huge forefts ftill allowed to freteh out with idle pomp and all the indolence of Eaftern grandeur? Why does the brown wafte meet the traveller's view, when men want work? But commons cannot be enclofed without acts of parliament to increafe the property of the rich! Why might not the induftrious peafant be allowed to fteal a farm from the heath? This fight I have feen;-the cow that fupported the children grazed near the hut, and the cheerful poultry were fed by the chubby babes, who breathed
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a bracing air, far from the difeafes and the vices of cities. Domination blafts all thefe profpects; virtue can only flourifh amongft equals, and the man who fubmits to a fellow-creature, becaufe it promotes his worldly intereft; and he who relieves only becaufe it is his duty to lay up a treafure in heaven, are much on a par, for both are radically degraded by the habits of their life.

In this great city, that proudly rears its head, and boafts of its population and commerce, how much mifery lurks in peftilential corners, whilft idle mendicants affiail, on every fide, the man who hates to encourage impoftors, or reprefs, with angry frown, the plaints of the poor! How many mechanics, by a flux of trade or fafhion, lofe their employment; whom misfortunes, not to be warded off, lead to the idlenefs that vitiates their character and renders them afterwards averfe to honeft labour! Where is the eye that

$$
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marks thefe evils, more gigantic than any of the infringements of property, which you pioufly deprecate ? Are thefe remedilefs evils ? And is the humane heart fatisfied with turning the poor over to another world, to reçeive the bleffings this could afford? If faciety was regulated on a more enlarged plan ; if man was contented to be the friend of man, and did not feek to bury the fympathies of humanity in the fervile appellation of mafter ; if, turning his eyes from ideal regions of tafte and ele, gance, he laboured to give the earth he in ${ }^{7}$ habited all the beauty it is capable of receiving, and was ever on the watch to fhed abroad all the happinefs which human nature can enjoy; -he who, refpecting the rights of men, wihhes to convince or perfuade fociety that this is true happinefs and dignity, is not the cruel oppreflor of the poor, nor a chortfighted philofopher-He fears God and loves his fellow-creatures.-Behold the whole duty
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of man !-the citizen who acts differently is 2 fophifticated being.

Surveying civilized life, and feeing, with undazzled eye, the polifhed vices of the rich, their infincerity, want of natural affections, with all the fpecious train that luxury introduces, I have turned impatiently to the poor, to look for man undebauched by riches or power $\rightarrow$ but, alas! what did I fee ? a being fcarcely above the brutes, over which he tyrannized; a broken fpirit, worn-out body, and all thofe grofs vices which the example of the rich, rudely copied, could produce. Envy built a wall of feparation, that made the poor hate, whilf they bent to their fuperiors; who, on their part, ftepped afide to avoid the loathrome fight of human mifery.

What were the outrages of a day ${ }^{d}$ to thefe continual miferies? Let thofe forrows hide their diminilhed head before the tremend-
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ous mountain of woe that thus defaces our globe! Man preys on man; and you mourn for the idle tapeftry that decorated a gothic pile, and the dronih bell that fummoned the fat prieft to prayer. You mourn for the empty pageant of a name, when llavery flaps her wing, and the fick heart retires to die in lonely wilds, far from the abodes of men. Did the pangs you felt for infulted nobility, the anguif that rent your heart when the gorgeous robes were torn off the idol human weaknefs had fet up, deferve to be compared with the long-drawn figh of melancholy reflection, when mifery and vice are thus feen to haunt our fteps, and fwim on the top of every cheering profpect? Why is our fancy to be appalled by terrific perfpectives of a hell beyond the grave? - Hell ftalks abroad; - the lafh refounds on the flave's naked fides; and the fick wretch, who can no longer earn the four bread of unremitting labour, feals to a ditch
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ditch to bid the world a long good night-or, neglected in fome oftentatious hofpital, breathes his laft amidft the laugh of mercenary attendants.

Such milery demands more than tears I paufe to recollect myfelf; and fmother the contempt I feel rifing for your rhetorical flourifhes and infantine fenfibility:

Taking a retrofpective view of my hafty anfwer, and cafting a curfory glance over your Reflections, I perceive that I have not alluded to feveral reprehenfible paffages, in your elaborate work; which I marked for cenfure when I firft perufed it with a fteady eye. And now I find it almoft impofible candidly to refute your fophifms, without quoting your own words, and putting the numerous contradictions I obferved in oppofition to each other. This would be an effectual refutation;
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but, after fuch a tedious drudgery, I fear I fhould only be read by the patient eye that fcarcely wanted my affiftance to detect the flagrant errors. It would be a tedious procefs to Shew, that often the moft juft and forcible illuftrations are warped to colour over opinions you muft fometimes have fecretly defpifed; or, at leaft have difcovered, that what you afferted without limitation, required the greateft. Some fubjects of exaggeration may have been fuperficially viewed: depth of judgment is, perhaps, incompatible with the predominant features of your mind. Your reafon may have often been the dupe of your imagination; but fay, did you not fometimes angrily bid her be ftill, when the whifpered that you were departing from frie: truth ? Or, when affuming the awful form of confcience, and only fmiling at the vagaries of wanity, did the not aufterely bid you recollect your own errors, before you lifted the aveng-
ing fone? Did the not fometimes wave het hand, when you poured forth a torrent of ghining fentences, and befeech you to concatenate them-plainly telling you that the impaffioned eloquence of the heart was calculated rather to affect than dazzle the reader, whom it hurried along to conviction ? Did fhe not anticipate the remark of the wife, whodrink not at a fhallow fparkling ftream, and tell you that they would difcover when, with the dignity of fincerity, you fupported an opinion that only appeared to you with one face; or, when fuperannuated vanity made you torture your invention ?-But I forbear.

I have before animadverted on our method of electing reprefentatives, convinced that it debauches both the morals of the people and the candidates, without rendering the member really refponfible, or attached to his conftituents; but, amongft your other contradictions, you blame the National Affembly for expecting
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ing any exertions from the fervile principle of refponfibility, and afterwards infult them for not rendering themfelves refponfible. Whether the one the French have adopted will anfwer the purpofe better, and be more than a fhadow of reprefentation, time only can fhew. In theory it appears more promifing.

Your real or artificial affection for the Englifh conftitution feems to me to refemble the brutal affection of fome weak characters. They think it a duty to love their relations with a blind, indolent tendernefs, that will not fee the faults it might affift to correct, if their affection had been built on rational grounds. They love they know not why, and they will love to the end of the chapter.

Is it abfolute blafphemy to doubt of the omnipotence of the law, or to fuppofe that religion might be more pure if there were fewer baits for hypocrites in the church? But our manners, yqu tell us, are drawn from the
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French, though you had before celebrated our native plainnefse. If they were, it is time we broke loofe from dependance- - Time that Englifhmen drew water from their own fprings; for, if manners are not a painted fubftitute for morals, we have only to cultivate our reafon, and we fhall not feel the want of an arbitrary model. Nature will fuffice; but I forget myfelf:-Nature and Reafon, according to your fyftem, are all to give place to authority; and the gods, as Shake-
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Spare makes a frantic wretch exclaim, feem to kill us for their fort, as men do flies.

Before I conclude my curfory remarks, is is but jut to acknowledge that I coincide with you in your opinion respecting the Sincerity of many modern philofophers. Your confiftency in avowing a veneration for rank and riches deferves praife; but I muff own that I have often indignantly observed that forme of the enlightened philofophers, who talk mot vehemently of the native rights of men, borrow many noble fentiments to adorn their converfation, which have no influence on their conduct. They bow down to rank, and are carefuel to fecure property; for virtue, without this adventitious drapery, is feldom very refpectable in their eyes-nor are they very quick-fighted to difcern real dignity of charafter when no founding name exalts the man above his fellows.-But neither open enmity
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nor hollow homage deftroys the intrinfic value of thole principles which reft on an eternal foundation, and revert for a ftandard to the immutable attributes of God.
$\sqrt{4}+\sqrt{x}$


[^0]:    2 As religion is included in my idea of morality, I fhould not have mentioned the term without feecifying all the fimple ideas which that comprehenfive word generalizes; but as the charge of atheifm has been very freely banded about in the letter I am confidering, I wifh to guard againft mifreprefentation.

[^1]:    b See Mr. Burke's Bills for occonomical reform.

[^2]:    'As you ironically obferve, p. 114.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Page 106.

[^4]:    k I do not now mean to difcufs the intricate fubject of their mortality; reafon may, perhaps, be given to them in the next fage of exiftence, if they are to mount in the ? fcale of life, like men, by the medium of death.
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[^5]:    - Vide Reflections, p. 128. "We fear God; we look up with awe to kings; with affecion to parliaments; with duty to magiftrates; with reverence to priefts; and with refpeci, to nobility."

[^6]:    - Page 140.

[^7]:    * Page 148.

[^8]:    H 4

[^9]:    $\times$ Page 6. 'Being a citizen of a particular ftate, and ' bound up in a confiderable degree, by its public will?' \&c.

[^10]:    $y$ Page II. 'It looks to me as ifI were in a great crifis, © not of the affairs of France alone but of all Europe, per' haps of more than Europe. All circumftances taken ' together, the French revolution is the moft aftonifhing ' that has hitherto happened in the world.

[^11]:    b When men are encouraged to go into a certain ' mode of life by the exifting laws, and protected in that ' mode as in a lawful occupation-when they have ac' commodated all their ideas, and all their babits to it,' \&ce. - I am fure it is unjuft in legiflature, by an arbitrary ' act, to offer a fudden violence to their minds and their - feelings; forcibly to degrade them from their ftate and - condition, and to ftigmatize with fhame and infamy that v character and thofe cuftoms which before had been made 'the meafure of their happinefs.' Page 230.

[^12]:    - Page 35 I.

[^13]:    e Page 118. 'It is not clear, whether in England we ' learned thofe grand and decorous principles, and manners, - of which confiderable traces yet remain, from you, or ' whether you took them from us. But to you, I think, ' we trace them beft. You feem to me to be-gentis incu* - nabula noftra. France has always more or lefs influenced © manners in England ; and when your fountain is choaked ' up and polluted, the fream will not run long, or not ' run clear with us, or perhaps with any nation. This ' gives all Europe, in my opinion, but too clofe and con' nected a coneern in what is done in France."

